

Probability density of lognormal fractional SABR model

Jiro Akahori

Department of Mathematical Sciences, Ritsumeikan University

Noji-higashi 1-1-1, Kusatsu, Shiga 525-8577, Japan

e-mail: akahori@se.ritsume.ac.jp

Xiaoming Song

Department of Mathematics, Drexel University

e-mail: song@math.drexel.edu

Tai-Ho Wang

Department of Mathematics, Baruch College, CUNY

1 Bernard Baruch Way, New York, NY10010

e-mail: tai-ho.wang@baruch.cuny.edu

Current Version: February 28, 2017

Abstract

Instantaneous volatility of logarithmic return in the lognormal fractional SABR model is driven by the exponentiation of a correlated fractional Brownian motion. Due to the mixed nature of driving Brownian and fractional Brownian motions, probability density for such a model is less studied in the literature. We show in this paper a bridge representation for the joint density of the lognormal fractional SABR model in a Fourier space. Evaluating the bridge representation along a properly chosen deterministic path yields a small time asymptotic expansion to the leading order for the probability density of the fractional SABR model. A direct generalization of the representation to joint density at multiple times leads to a heuristic derivation of the large deviations principle for the joint density in small time. Approximation of implied volatility is readily obtained by applying the Laplace asymptotic formula to the call or put prices and comparing coefficients.

Keywords: Asymptotic expansion, Lognormal fractional SABR model, Mixed fractional Brownian motion, Bridge representation

PROBABILITY DENSITY OF LOGNORMAL FRACTIONAL SABR MODEL

JIRO AKAHORI, XIAOMING SONG, AND TAI-HO WANG

ABSTRACT. Instantaneous volatility of logarithmic return in the lognormal fractional SABR model is driven by the exponentiation of a correlated fractional Brownian motion. Due to the mixed nature of driving Brownian and fractional Brownian motions, probability density for such a model is less studied in the literature. We show in this paper a bridge representation for the joint density of the lognormal fractional SABR model in a Fourier space. Evaluating the bridge representation along a properly chosen deterministic path yields a small time asymptotic expansion to the leading order for the probability density of the fractional SABR model. A direct generalization of the representation to joint density at multiple times leads to a heuristic derivation of the large deviations principle for the joint density in small time. Approximation of implied volatility is readily obtained by applying the Laplace asymptotic formula to the call or put prices and comparing coefficients.

1. INTRODUCTION

The celebrated Black and Black-Scholes-Merton models have been the benchmark for European options on currency exchange, interest rates, and equities since the inauguration of the trading on financial derivatives. However, empirical evidences have shown that the main drawback of these models is the assumption of constant volatility; the key parameter required in the calculation of option premia under such models. The volatility parameters induced from market data are in fact nonconstant across markets; dubbed as *volatility smile*. The Stochastic $\alpha\beta\rho$ (SABR for short hereafter) model, suggested by Hagan, Lesniewski, and Woodward in [12], is one of the models, such as local volatility models, stochastic volatility models, and exponential Lévy type of models etc, that attempts to capture the volatility smile effect. Furthermore, as opposed to local volatility models, in SABR model the volatility smile moves in the same direction as the underlying with time, see [11].

The SABR model is depicted by the following system of stochastic differential equations (SDEs):

$$dF_t = \alpha_t F_t^\beta dW_t, \quad F_0 = F, \tag{1.1}$$

$$d\alpha_t = \nu \alpha_t dZ_t, \quad \alpha_0 = \alpha, \tag{1.2}$$

with $\beta \in [0, 1]$, where F_t is the forward price and α_t is the instantaneous volatility. W_t and Z_t are correlated Brownian motions with constant correlation coefficient ρ . The SABR model is at times referred to as the lognormal SABR model when $\beta = 1$. The SABR formula is an asymptotic expansion for the implied volatilities of call options with various strikes in small time to expiry. For reader's convenience, we reproduce the SABR formula in the following. Let $\sigma_{BS}(K, \tau)$ be the implied volatility of a vanilla option struck at K and time to expiry τ . The SABR formula states

$$\sigma_{BS}(K, \tau) = \nu \frac{\log(F/K)}{D(\zeta)} \{1 + O(\tau)\} \quad (1.3)$$

as time to expiry τ approaches 0. The function D and the parameter ζ involved in (1.3) are defined respectively as

$$D(\zeta) = \log \left(\frac{\sqrt{1 - 2\rho\zeta + \zeta^2} + \zeta - \rho}{1 - \rho} \right)$$

and

$$\zeta = \begin{cases} \frac{\nu}{\alpha} \frac{F^{1-\beta} - K^{1-\beta}}{1-\beta} & \text{if } \beta \neq 1; \\ \frac{\nu}{\alpha} \log \left(\frac{F}{K} \right) & \text{if } \beta = 1. \end{cases}$$

Generally, the SABR formula is given one order higher, up to order τ . Here we present only up to zeroth order for our own purpose.

The geometry of SABR model is isometrically diffeomorphic to the two dimensional hyperbolic space or the Poincaré plane. This isometry leads to a derivation of the SABR formula (1.3) based on an expression of the heat kernel, known as the McKean kernel, on Poincaré plane. In particular, the lowest order term in (1.3) has a geometric interpretation. The function D is the shortest geodesic distance from the spot value (F_0, α_0) to the vertical line $F = K$ in the upper half plane $\{(F, \alpha) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : \alpha \geq 0\}$. Hence, the lowest order term in (1.3) is indeed the ratio between the absolute value of logmoneyness, i.e., $\log(K/F_0)$, and the shortest geodesic distance from (F_0, α_0) to the vertical line $F = K$ in the upper half plane. We refer readers interested in this topic to [12] for more detailed discussions. As expression for heat kernel on hyperbolic space is concerned, Ikeda and Matsumoto in [13] provided a probabilistic approach and obtained, among other interesting results, a representation for the transition density of hyperbolic Brownian motion, i.e., the heat kernel over the Poincaré plane. See Theorem 2.1 in [13] for details.

The aforementioned nice isometry between SABR model and Poincaré plane breaks down if the volatility process, i.e., the α_t process in (1.1), is driven by a fractional

Brownian motion such as (2.4) considered in the paper. Moreover, due to the lack of Markovianity of fractional Brownian motions and thus the nonexistence of the forward and backward Kolmogorov equations, the classical asymptotic expansion approaches, such as the heat kernel or WKB expansion, are no longer applicable either. In this regard, the probabilistic approach in [13] is more applicable and tractable when dealing with processes driven by fractional Brownian motions.

The volatility process is generally conceived behaving “fractionally” in that the driving noise is a fractional process, e.g., a fractional Brownian motion with Hurst exponent other than a half. For a far from exhausting list, models that attempt to incorporate the fractional feature of volatility include: the ARFIMA model in [9] and the FIGARCH model [1] for discrete time models; the long memory stochastic volatility model in [3] and the affine fractional stochastic volatility model in [4] for continuous time models. Somewhat on the contrary, in a recent study in [8], the Hurst exponent H is estimated as being less than a half; thereby indicating antipersistence as opposed to persistence of the volatility process. It is also worth mentioning that generalizations of Heston model to fractional version have been recently considered in [6] and [10]. Heston related models are usually dealt with via the characteristic and/or moment generating functions. However, in this paper we take the approach following closely the methodology in [13].

In order to embed the empirically observed fractional feature of the volatility process into the classical SABR model, we suggest in this paper a fractional version of the SABR model as in (2.3):(2.4). Modulo a mean-reversion component, this model aligns with the model statistically tested in [8]. The main observation in [8] is that, using square root of the realized/integrated variance as a proxy for the instantaneous volatility, the logarithm of the volatility process behaves like a fractional Brownian motion in almost any time scale of frequency. The Hurst exponent H inferred from the time series data is less than a half; indeed, $H \approx 0.1$. This observation of small Hurst exponent in the volatility process makes the analysis of the model more technical and challenging from stochastic analysis point of view. To our knowledge, most of the small time asymptotic expansions for processes driven by fractional Brownian motions have restrictions on the Hurst exponent H of the driving fractional Brownian motion, mostly $H \geq \frac{1}{4}$. One of the advantage of the approach undertaken in the current paper is that it works without restriction on the Hurst exponent H . The key ingredient is a representation in a Fourier space, which we call the bridge representation in Section 2, for the joint density of log spot and volatility, see (2.7).

A small time asymptotic expansion of the joint density is readily obtained from the bridge representation. The idea is to approximate the conditional expectation in the bridge representation by a judiciously chosen deterministic path since, conditioned on the initial and terminal points, at each point in time a Gaussian process will not wander too far away from its expectation. As long as an asymptotic expansion for the density of the underlying asset is available, to obtain an expansion for implied volatility is almost straightforward by basically comparing the coefficients with a similar expansion obtained by using the lognormal density on the Black or the Black-Scholes-Merton side.

The methodology of deriving the bridge representation (2.7) can be generalized directly to obtain a bridge representation for the joint density of multiple times; hence inducing a representation for finite dimensional distributions of the fractional SABR model, see Theorem 5.1. Based on this bridge representation for finite dimensional distributions, Section 5 is devoted to a heuristic yet appealing derivation of the large deviations principle for the joint density of the fractional SABR model in small time. This large deviations principle in a sense can be regarded as defining a “geodesic distance” over the fractional SABR plane since, as we shall show in Section 5, it recovers the energy functional on the Poincaré plane when $H = \frac{1}{2}$. We leave the rigorous proof of the large deviations principle in a future work. An immediate consequence of this large deviation principle is the fractional SABR formula (to the lowest order) (5.6) which recovers the classical SABR formula when $H = \frac{1}{2}$. The fractional SABR formula (5.6) pertains the guiding principle that the lowest order term in the implied volatility expansion is given by the ratio between the absolute value of the logmoneyness and the geodesic distance to the vertical line $F = K$.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. The fractional SABR model is specified and the bridge representation for joint density is shown in Section 2. Sections 3 and 4 provide small time asymptotic expansions of the joint density and of the implied volatilities respectively. Section 5 presents the bridge representation for finite dimensional distributions and the large deviations principle. Finally, the paper concludes in Section 6 with discussions.

2. MODEL SPECIFICATION

Throughout the text, B_t and W_t denote independent standard Brownian motions defined on the filtered probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_t, \mathbb{P})$ satisfying the usual conditions. B_t^H

is a fractional Brownian motion with Hurst exponent $H \in (0, 1)$ generated by B_t , i.e.,

$$B_t^H = \int_0^t K_H(t, s) dB_s,$$

where K_H is the Molchan-Golosov kernel

$$K_H(t, s) = c_H(t - s)^{H-\frac{1}{2}} F\left(H - \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2} - H, H + \frac{1}{2}; 1 - \frac{t}{s}\right) \mathbf{1}_{[0, t]}(s), \quad (2.1)$$

with $c_H = \left[\frac{2H\Gamma(\frac{3}{2}-H)}{\Gamma(2-2H)\Gamma(H+\frac{1}{2})} \right]^{1/2}$ and F is the Gauss hypergeometric function. Also, the autocovariance function of a fractional Brownian motion is denoted by $R(t, s)$ and defined as

$$R(t, s) = \frac{1}{2} (t^{2H} + s^{2H} - |t - s|^{2H}). \quad (2.2)$$

Lastly, we assume that all random variables and stochastic processes are defined on $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_t, \mathbb{P})$.

We study the following lognormal fractional SABR (fSABR) model in risk neutral probability. For simplicity, interest and dividend rates are both assumed zero in this paper.

$$\frac{dS_t}{S_t} = \alpha_t(\rho dB_t + \bar{\rho} dW_t), \quad (2.3)$$

$$\alpha_t = \alpha_0 e^{\nu B_t^H}, \quad (2.4)$$

where $\rho \in (-1, 1)$ and $\bar{\rho} = \sqrt{1 - \rho^2}$. In other words, the underlying price S_t follows a stochastic volatility model with instantaneous volatility process α_t given by the exponentiation of a correlated fractional Brownian motion. The main purpose of this section is to derive the bridge representations (2.7) and (2.8) for the joint densities of (X_t, Y_t) and (S_t, α_t) respectively. The bridge representation is the crucial starting line in obtaining expansions and approximations for the joint densities that we will be discussing in Section 3.

By making the change of variables

$$X_t = \log S_t, \quad Y_t = \alpha_t,$$

the system (2.3):(2.4) can be written more explicitly as

$$X_t - X_0 = Y_0 \int_0^t e^{\nu B_s^H} (\rho dB_s + \bar{\rho} dW_s) - \frac{Y_0^2}{2} \int_0^t e^{2\nu B_s^H} ds, \quad (2.5)$$

$$Y_t = Y_0 e^{\nu B_t^H}. \quad (2.6)$$

Adapting the methodology introduced in Ikeda and Matsumoto [13], a bridge representation for the joint probability density of (2.5):(2.6) is obtained in the following theorem.

Theorem 2.1. *Let $v_t = \int_0^t e^{2\nu B_s^H} ds$ and $\eta_t = \log\left(\frac{y_t}{y_0}\right)$. The joint probability density $p(t, x_t, y_t | x_0, y_0)$ of (X_t, Y_t) satisfying (2.5):(2.6), conditioned on $(X_0, Y_0) = (x_0, y_0)$, has the bridge representation*

$$\begin{aligned} & p(t, x_t, y_t | x_0, y_0) \\ &= \frac{e^{-\frac{\eta_t^2}{2\nu^2 t^{2H}}}}{y_t \sqrt{2\pi\nu^2 t^{2H}}} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int e^{i(x_t - x_0)\xi} \mathbb{E} \left[e^{i\left(-\rho \int_0^t y_0 e^{\nu B_s^H} dB_s + \frac{y_0^2}{2} v_t\right)\xi} e^{-\frac{\bar{\rho}^2 y_0^2 v_t}{2} \xi^2} \middle| B_t^H = \frac{\eta_t}{\nu} \right] d\xi, \end{aligned} \quad (2.7)$$

where $i = \sqrt{-1}$.

Remark 2.1. *The bridge representation (2.7) can be regarded as a generalization of the well-known McKean kernel, namely, the classical heat kernel over a 2-dimensional hyperbolic space. For reader's reference, the McKean kernel $p_{\mathbb{H}^2}(t, x_t, y_t | x_0, y_0)$ reads*

$$p_{\mathbb{H}^2}(t, x_t, y_t | x_0, y_0) = \frac{\sqrt{2}e^{-t/8}}{(2\pi t)^{3/2}} \int_d^\infty \frac{\xi e^{-\xi^2/2t}}{\sqrt{\cosh \xi - \cosh d}} d\xi,$$

where $d = d(x_t, y_t; x_0, y_0)$ is the geodesic distance from (x_t, y_t) to (x_0, y_0) . The geodesic distance satisfies $\cosh d(x_t, y_t; x_0, y_0) = \frac{(x_t - x_0)^2 + y_t^2 + y_0^2}{2y_t y_0}$. Note that the McKean kernel is a density with respect to the Riemannian volume form $\frac{1}{y_t^2} dx_t dy_t$. Indeed, in the case where $H = \frac{1}{2}$, $\nu = 1$ and $\rho = 0$, Ikeda and Matsumoto showed in [13] how to recover the McKean kernel from (2.7). See also Cheng and Wang [2] for a different representation in terms of Bessel bridge for the hyperbolic heat kernel.

Proof. We calculate the joint probability density $p(t, x_t, y_t | x_0, y_0)$ of (X_t, Y_t) as follows. Consider

$$\begin{aligned} & p(t, x_t, y_t | x_0, y_0) \\ &= \mathbb{E} [\delta(X_t - x_t, Y_t - y_t)] \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left[\delta \left(x_0 + y_0 \int_0^t e^{\nu B_s^H} (\rho dB_s + \bar{\rho} dW_s) - \frac{y_0^2}{2} v_t - x_t, y_0 e^{\nu B_t^H} - y_t \right) \right] \end{aligned}$$

since $v_t = \int_0^t e^{2\nu B_s^H} ds$. Note that conditioned on \mathcal{F}_t^B , $y_0 \bar{\rho} \int_0^t e^{\nu B_s^H} dW_s$ is normally distributed since W_t and B_t are independent. Moreover,

$$\mathbb{E} \left[y_0 \bar{\rho} \int_0^t e^{\nu B_s^H} dW_s \middle| \mathcal{F}_t^B \right] = 0,$$

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\left(y_0 \bar{\rho} \int_0^t e^{\nu B_s^H} dW_s \right)^2 \middle| \mathcal{F}_t^B \right] = y_0^2 \bar{\rho}^2 \int_0^t e^{2\nu B_s^H} ds = y_0^2 \bar{\rho}^2 v_t.$$

It follows by conditioning on \mathcal{F}_t^B that

$$\begin{aligned} & p(t, x_t, y_t | x_0, y_0) \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left[\mathbb{E} \left[\delta \left(x_0 + y_0 \bar{\rho} \int_0^t e^{\nu B_s^H} dW_s + y_0 \rho \int_0^t e^{\nu B_s^H} dB_s - \frac{y_0^2}{2} v_t - x_t, y_0 e^{\nu B_t^H} - y_t \right) \middle| \mathcal{F}_t^B \right] \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left[\int \left\{ \delta \left(x_0 + \xi_t + y_0 \rho \int_0^t e^{\nu B_s^H} dB_s - \frac{y_0^2}{2} v_t - x_t, y_0 e^{\nu B_t^H} - y_t \right) \frac{e^{-\frac{\xi_t^2}{2y_0^2 \bar{\rho}^2 v_t}}}{\sqrt{2\pi y_0^2 \bar{\rho}^2 v_t}} \right\} d\xi_t \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi y_0^2 \bar{\rho}^2 v_t}} e^{-\frac{1}{2y_0^2 \bar{\rho}^2 v_t} \left(x_t - x_0 - y_0 \rho \int_0^t e^{\nu B_s^H} dB_s + \frac{y_0^2}{2} v_t \right)^2} \middle| y_0 e^{\nu B_t^H} = y_t \right] \times \mathbb{P} \left[y_0 e^{\nu B_t^H} = y_t \right], \end{aligned}$$

where ξ_t denotes the random variable $y_0 \bar{\rho} \int_0^t e^{\nu B_s^H} dW_s$ conditioned on \mathcal{F}_t^B . By using the identity

$$e^{-\frac{u^2}{2y_0^2 \bar{\rho}^2 v_t}} = \sqrt{\frac{y_0^2 \bar{\rho}^2 v_t}{2\pi}} \int e^{iu\xi} e^{-\frac{y_0^2 \bar{\rho}^2 v_t \xi^2}{2}} d\xi$$

and letting $u = x_t - x_0 - \rho y_0 \int_0^t e^{\nu B_s^H} dB_s + \frac{y_0^2}{2} v_t$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi y_0^2 \bar{\rho}^2 v_t}} e^{-\frac{1}{2y_0^2 \bar{\rho}^2 v_t} \left(x_t - x_0 - y_0 \rho \int_0^t e^{\nu B_s^H} dB_s + \frac{y_0^2}{2} v_t \right)^2} \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int e^{i \left(x_t - x_0 - \rho y_0 \int_0^t e^{\nu B_s^H} dB_s + \frac{y_0^2}{2} v_t \right) \xi} e^{-\frac{y_0^2 \bar{\rho}^2 v_t \xi^2}{2}} d\xi. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, we end up with the following bridge representation of the density p

$$\begin{aligned} & p(t, x_t, y_t | x_0, y_0) \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi y_0^2 \bar{\rho}^2 v_t}} e^{-\frac{1}{2y_0^2 \bar{\rho}^2 v_t} \left(x_t - x_0 - y_0 \rho \int_0^t e^{\nu B_s^H} dB_s + \frac{y_0^2}{2} v_t \right)^2} \middle| y_0 e^{\nu B_t^H} = y_t \right] \times \mathbb{P} \left[y_0 e^{\nu B_t^H} = y_t \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int \mathbb{E} \left[e^{i \left(x_t - x_0 - \rho y_0 \int_0^t e^{\nu B_s^H} dB_s + \frac{y_0^2}{2} v_t \right) \xi} e^{-\frac{y_0^2 \bar{\rho}^2 v_t \xi^2}{2}} \middle| y_0 e^{\nu B_t^H} = y_t \right] \times \mathbb{P} \left[y_0 e^{\nu B_t^H} = y_t \right] d\xi \\ &= \frac{1}{y_t \sqrt{2\pi \nu^2 t^{2H}}} e^{-\frac{\eta_t^2}{2\nu^2 t^{2H}}} \times \\ & \quad \frac{1}{2\pi} \int \mathbb{E} \left[e^{i \left(x_t - x_0 - \rho y_0 \int_0^t e^{\nu B_s^H} dB_s + \frac{y_0^2}{2} v_t \right) \xi} e^{-\frac{\bar{\rho}^2 y_0^2 v_t \xi^2}{2}} \middle| \nu B_t^H = \eta_t \right] d\xi, \end{aligned}$$

where recall that $v_t = \int_0^t e^{2\nu B_s^H} ds$ and $\eta_t = \log \left(\frac{y_t}{y_0} \right)$. □

By transforming back to the original variables $(s_t, \alpha_t) = (s_0 e^{x_t}, y_t)$, we obtain a bridge representation for the joint density q of (2.3):(2.4).

Corollary 2.1. *The joint density $q(t, s_t, a_t | s_0, a_0)$ of the lognormal fractional SABR model (2.3):(2.4), conditioned on $(S_0, \alpha_0) = (s_0, a_0)$, has the following bridge representation*

$$\begin{aligned} & q(t, s_t, a_t | s_0, a_0) \\ &= \frac{e^{-\frac{\eta_t^2}{2\nu^2 t^{2H}}}}{a_t \sqrt{2\pi\nu^2 t^{2H}}} \frac{1}{2\pi s_t} \int \left(\frac{s_t}{s_0}\right)^{i\xi} \mathbb{E} \left[e^{i\left(-\rho \int_0^t a_0 e^{\nu B_s^H} dB_s + \frac{a_0^2}{2} v_t\right)\xi} e^{-\frac{\bar{\rho}^2 a_0^2 v_t}{2} \xi^2} \middle| \nu B_t^H = \eta_t \right] d\xi, \end{aligned} \quad (2.8)$$

where again $i = \sqrt{-1}$, $v_t = \int_0^t e^{2\nu B_s^H} ds$, and $\eta_t = \log\left(\frac{a_t}{a_0}\right)$.

3. EXPANSION AROUND DETERMINISTIC PATH

To gain more intuition and in particular a more practical form for applications in obtaining approximations of implied volatility, this section is devoted to deriving an expansion to the lowest order of the bridge representation (2.7) around a properly chosen deterministic path. The expansion will be shown useful in deriving a small time approximation for implied volatility in Section 4.

Recall that the joint density p of (X_t, Y_t) has the representation given in (2.7) as

$$\begin{aligned} & p(t, x_t, y_t | x_0, y_0) \\ &= \frac{e^{-\frac{\eta_t^2}{2\nu^2 t^{2H}}}}{y_t \sqrt{2\pi\nu^2 t^{2H}}} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int e^{i(x_t - x_0)\xi} \mathbb{E} \left[e^{i\left(-\rho \int_0^t y_0 e^{\nu B_s^H} dB_s + \frac{y_0^2}{2} v_t\right)\xi} e^{-\frac{\bar{\rho}^2 y_0^2 v_t}{2} \xi^2} \middle| B_t^H = \frac{\eta_t}{\nu} \right] d\xi. \end{aligned}$$

Let us start with a few naïve calculations as follows. We expand the conditional expectation around the deterministic path m_s , for $0 \leq s \leq t$, given by the expectation of B_s^H conditioned on its terminal point. Precisely,

$$m_s := \mathbb{E}_{\eta_t}[B_s^H] = R \left(1, \frac{s}{t}\right) \frac{\eta_t}{\nu}$$

where R is defined in (2.2). We have, for $n \geq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} & e^{-i\rho\xi \int_0^t y_0 e^{\nu B_s^H} dB_s} = e^{-i\rho\xi \int_0^t y_0 (e^{\nu B_s^H} - e^{\nu m_s}) dB_s - i\rho\xi \int_0^t y_0 e^{\nu m_s} dB_s} \\ & \approx e^{-i\rho\xi \int_0^t y_0 e^{\nu m_s} dB_s} \left[\sum_{k=0}^n \frac{(-i\rho\xi)^k}{k!} \left\{ \int_0^t y_0 (e^{\nu B_s^H} - e^{\nu m_s}) dB_s \right\}^k \right] \end{aligned}$$

as well as

$$e^{-\frac{1}{2}(\bar{\rho}^2 \xi - i)\xi \int_0^t y_0^2 e^{2\nu B_s^H} ds}$$

$$\approx e^{-\frac{1}{2}(\bar{\rho}^2\xi-i)\xi\int_0^t y_0^2 e^{2\nu m_s} ds} \left(\sum_{k=0}^n \frac{1}{k!} \left\{ -\frac{1}{2}(\bar{\rho}^2\xi-i)\xi \int_0^t y_0^2 (e^{2\nu B_s^H} - e^{2\nu m_s}) ds \right\}^k \right).$$

Combining the two expansions together we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & e^{-i\rho\xi\int_0^t y_0 e^{\nu B_s^H} dB_s} e^{-\frac{1}{2}(\bar{\rho}^2\xi-i)\xi\int_0^t y_0^2 e^{2\nu B_s^H} ds} \\ & \approx e^{-i\rho\xi\int_0^t y_0 e^{\nu m_s} dB_s} e^{-\frac{1}{2}(\bar{\rho}^2\xi-i)\xi\int_0^t y_0^2 e^{2\nu m_s} ds} \times \\ & \quad \left[\sum_{k=0}^n \frac{(-i\rho\xi)^k}{k!} \left\{ \int_0^t y_0 (e^{\nu B_s^H} - e^{\nu m_s}) dB_s \right\}^k \right] \times \\ & \quad \left[\sum_{\ell=0}^n \frac{1}{\ell!} \left\{ -\frac{1}{2}(\bar{\rho}^2\xi-i)\xi \int_0^t y_0^2 (e^{2\nu B_s^H} - e^{2\nu m_s}) ds \right\}^\ell \right] \\ & = e^{-i\rho\xi\int_0^t y_0 e^{\nu m_s} dB_s} e^{-\frac{1}{2}(\bar{\rho}^2\xi-i)\xi\int_0^t y_0^2 e^{2\nu m_s} ds} \times \\ & \quad \sum_{k,\ell=0}^n \frac{(-i\rho\xi)^k}{k!} \left\{ \int_0^t y_0 (e^{\nu B_s^H} - e^{\nu m_s}) dB_s \right\}^k \times \\ & \quad \frac{1}{\ell!} \left\{ -\frac{1}{2}(\bar{\rho}^2\xi-i)\xi \int_0^t y_0^2 (e^{2\nu B_s^H} - e^{2\nu m_s}) ds \right\}^\ell. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, even for obtaining a naïve expansion, we shall need a systematic way of computing the conditional expectations of the form, for $k, \ell \geq 1$,

$$\mathbb{E}_{\eta_t} \left[e^{-i\rho\xi\int_0^t y_0 e^{\nu m_s} dB_s} \left\{ \int_0^t (e^{\nu B_s^H} - e^{\nu m_s}) dB_s \right\}^k \left\{ \int_0^t (e^{2\nu B_s^H} - e^{2\nu m_s}) ds \right\}^\ell \right],$$

which is pretty complicated if not impossible. Nevertheless, as leading order is concerned, small time expansion of the joint density p to the lowest order is still manageable. The result is summarized in the following theorem.

Theorem 3.1. *The joint probability density p of the process (X_t, Y_t) satisfying (2.5):(2.6) has the following asymptotic to the lowest order*

$$\begin{aligned} & p(t, x_t, y_t | x_0, y_0) \\ & = \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{1}{y_t \sqrt{\nu^2 t^{2H}}} e^{-\frac{\eta_t^2}{2\nu^2 t^{2H}}} \frac{1}{y_0 \sqrt{t\psi(\eta_t)}} e^{-\frac{1}{2y_0^2\psi(\eta_t)} \left(\frac{x_t - x_0}{\sqrt{t}} - \rho y_0 C_{RK}(\eta_t) \frac{\eta_t}{\nu} t^{-H} \right)^2} (1 + O(t^{\gamma+\epsilon})) \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

for any $\epsilon > 0$, where

$$\gamma = \begin{cases} \min \{ H + \frac{1}{2}, 1 \} & \text{if } \rho = 0; \\ \frac{1}{2} & \text{if } \rho \neq 0 \end{cases}$$

and the related functions are defined by

$$C_{RK}(\eta) := \int_0^1 e^{R(1,u)\eta} K_H(1, u) du, \quad (3.2)$$

$$C_{eR}(\eta) := \int_0^1 e^{2R(1,u)\eta} du, \quad (3.3)$$

$$\psi(\eta_t) := C_{eR}(\eta_t) - \rho^2 C_{RK}^2(\eta_t), \quad (3.4)$$

and recall that $\eta_t = \log\left(\frac{y_t}{y_0}\right)$.

Remark 3.1. We remark that in the logarithmic scale, (3.1) can be expressed in a more concise form as

$$\begin{aligned} & \log p(t, x_t, y_t | x_0, y_0) \\ &= -\frac{1}{2t^{2H}} \left[\frac{\eta_t^2}{\nu^2} + \frac{1}{y_0^2 \psi(\eta_t)} \left(\frac{x_t - x_0}{t^{\frac{1}{2}-H}} - \rho y_0 C_{RK}(\eta_t) \frac{\eta_t}{\nu} \right)^2 \right] + o(\log t). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. To the lowest order, p is given by

$$\begin{aligned} & p(t, x_t, y_t | x_0, y_0) \\ &= \frac{e^{-\frac{\eta_t^2}{2\nu^2 t^{2H}}}}{y_t \sqrt{2\pi\nu^2 t^{2H}}} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int e^{i(x_t - x_0)\xi} e^{-\frac{1}{2}(\bar{\rho}^2 \xi - i)\xi \int_0^t y_0^2 e^{2\nu m_s} ds} \mathbb{E}_{\eta_t} \left[e^{-i\rho\xi \int_0^t y_0 e^{\nu m_s} dB_s} \right] (1 + O(t^{\gamma+\epsilon})) d\xi. \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

Consider the conditional expectation in the last expression

$$\mathbb{E}_{\eta_t} \left[e^{-i\rho\xi \int_0^t y_0 e^{\nu m_s} dB_s} \right] = \mathbb{E} \left[e^{-i\rho\xi \int_0^t y_0 e^{\nu m_s} dB_s} \middle| B_t^H = \frac{\eta_t}{\nu} \right].$$

Note that $\int_0^t e^{\nu m_s} dB_s$ and B_t^H are jointly Gaussian. We apply the following identity to evaluate the conditional expectation: if X and Y are jointly normal with mean 0, we can decompose X as

$$X = \frac{\text{cov}(X, Y)}{V(Y)} Y + \sqrt{\frac{V(X)V(Y) - \text{cov}(X, Y)^2}{V(Y)}} Z,$$

where Y and Z are independent and Z is standard normal. Hence,

$$\mathbb{E} [f(X) | Y = y] = \mathbb{E} \left[f \left(\frac{\text{cov}(X, Y)}{V(Y)} y + \sqrt{\frac{V(X)V(Y) - \text{cov}(X, Y)^2}{V(Y)}} Z \right) \right].$$

In our case, $X = \int_0^t e^{\nu m_s} dB_s$ and $Y = B_t^H$, hence

$$V(X) = \int_0^t e^{2\nu m_s} ds = t \int_0^1 e^{2R(1,u)\eta_t} du = C_{eR}(\eta_t) t,$$

$$V(Y) = t^{2H},$$

$$\text{cov}(X, Y) = \int_0^t e^{\nu m_s} K_H(t, s) ds = t^{H+\frac{1}{2}} \int_0^1 e^{R(1,u)\eta_t} K_H(1, u) du = C_{RK}(\eta_t) t^{H+\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Therefore,

$$\mathbb{E}_{\eta_t} \left[e^{-i\rho\xi \int_0^t y_0 e^{\nu m_s} dB_s} \right]$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= e^{-i\rho\xi y_0 t^{\frac{1}{2}-H} C_{RK}(\eta_t) \frac{\eta_t}{\nu}} \mathbb{E} \left[e^{-i\rho\xi y_0 \left\{ \sqrt{t} \sqrt{C_{eR}(\eta_t) - C_{RK}^2(\eta_t)} \right\} Z} \right] \\
&= \exp \left[-i\rho\xi y_0 t^{\frac{1}{2}-H} C_{RK}(\eta_t) \frac{\eta_t}{\nu} - \frac{\rho^2 \xi^2}{2} y_0^2 t \{C_{eR}(\eta_t) - C_{RK}^2(\eta_t)\} \right].
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, by substituting the last expression into (3.5), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&p(t, x_t, y_t | x_0, y_0) \\
&= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \frac{1}{y_t \sqrt{2\pi\nu^2 t^{2H}}} e^{-\frac{\eta_t^2}{2\nu^2 t^{2H}}} \times \\
&\quad \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int e^{i(x_t - x_0)\xi} e^{-\frac{1}{2}(\bar{\rho}^2 \xi - i)\xi t y_0^2 C_{eR}(\eta_t)} e^{-i\rho\xi y_0 t^{\frac{1}{2}-H} C_{RK}(\eta_t) \frac{\eta_t}{\nu} - \frac{\rho^2 \xi^2}{2} y_0^2 t \{C_{eR}(\eta_t) - C_{RK}^2(\eta_t)\}} \times \\
&\quad (1 + O(t^{\gamma+\epsilon})) d\xi \\
&= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \frac{1}{y_t \sqrt{2\pi\nu^2 t^{2H}}} e^{-\frac{\eta_t^2}{2\nu^2 t^{2H}}} \times \\
&\quad \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int e^{i\left(x_t - x_0 - \rho y_0 C_{RK}(\eta_t) \frac{\eta_t}{\nu} t^{\frac{1}{2}-H} + \frac{y_0^2}{2} C_{eR}(\eta_t) t\right)\xi} e^{-\frac{\xi^2}{2} y_0^2 \{C_{eR}(\eta_t) - \rho^2 C_{RK}^2(\eta_t)\} t} \times \\
&\quad (1 + O(t^{\gamma+\epsilon})) d\xi \\
&= \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{1}{y_t \sqrt{\nu^2 t^{2H}}} e^{-\frac{\eta_t^2}{2\nu^2 t^{2H}}} \frac{1}{y_0 \sqrt{t\psi(\eta_t)}} e^{-\frac{1}{2y_0^2 \psi(\eta_t)} \left(\frac{x_t - x_0}{\sqrt{t}} - \rho y_0 C_{RK}(\eta_t) \frac{\eta_t}{\nu} t^{-H}\right)^2} (1 + O(t^{\gamma+\epsilon})).
\end{aligned}$$

We postpone more detailed error analysis to Section 7.1 in the appendix. \square

Remark 3.2. In the case that $\nu = 1$, $\rho = 0$, and $H = \frac{1}{2}$, we have

$$C_{eR}(\eta_t) = \int_0^1 e^{2R(1,u)\eta_t} du = \int_0^1 e^{2u\eta_t} du = \frac{1}{2\eta_t} (e^{2\eta_t} - 1) = \frac{y_t^2 - y_0^2}{2\eta_t y_0^2}$$

since $\eta_t = \log\left(\frac{y_t}{y_0}\right)$. Then (3.1) reduces to

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{2\pi} \times \frac{1}{y_t \sqrt{t}} e^{-\frac{\eta_t^2}{2t}} \times \frac{1}{y_0 \sqrt{C_{eR}(\eta_t) t}} e^{-\frac{1}{2y_0^2 C_{eR}(\eta_t) t} \left(x_t - x_0 + \frac{y_0^2}{2} C_{eR}(\eta_t) t\right)^2} (1 + O(t)) \\
&= \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{1}{y_t \sqrt{t}} e^{-\frac{\eta_t^2}{2t}} \frac{1}{y_0 \sqrt{C_{eR}(\eta_t) t}} e^{-\frac{(x_t - x_0)^2}{2y_0^2 C_{eR}(\eta_t) t}} e^{-\frac{x_t - x_0}{2y_0^2}} (1 + O(t)) \\
&= \frac{1}{2\pi t} e^{-\frac{1}{2t} \left[\eta_t^2 + \frac{2\eta_t(x_t - x_0)^2}{y_t^2 - y_0^2}\right]} \frac{e^{-\frac{x_t - x_0}{2y_0^2}}}{y_t y_0 \sqrt{C_{eR}(\eta_t)}} (1 + O(t))
\end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

Notice that in this case (X_t, Y_t) represents the Brownian motion in hyperbolic plane whose transition density p_H (with respect to the Riemannian area measure) has the leading term in small time asymptotic as

$$p_H(t, x_t, y_t | x_0, y_0) = \frac{1}{2\pi t} e^{-\frac{d^2(x_t, y_t; x_0, y_0)}{2t}} (1 + O(t)),$$

where d denotes the geodesic distance in the hyperbolic plane. For reader's reference, the hyperbolic cosine of the geodesic distance $d(x_t, y_t; x_0, y_0)$ between (x_0, y_0) and (x_t, y_t) has the closed form expression

$$\cosh d(x_0, y_0; x_t, y_t) = \frac{(x_t - x_0)^2 + y_0^2 + y_t^2}{2y_0y_t}.$$

Thus, in a sense the following function in (3.6)

$$\tilde{d}(x_0, y_0; y_t, y_0) := \sqrt{\eta_t^2 + \frac{2\eta_t}{y_t^2 - y_0^2} (x_t - x_0)^2}$$

can be regarded as an approximation of the hyperbolic geodesic distance. The following plot shows the graphs of the hyperbolic cosines of the two functions d and \tilde{d} . The complete recovery of the hyperbolic geodesic distance is demonstrated in Section 5 below.

4. SMALL TIME APPROXIMATION OF OPTION PRICE AND IMPLIED VOLATILITY

We derive in this section the small time asymptotics of the premium of a call option and its associated implied volatility by applying the small time asymptotics for the probability density obtained in Section 3. It is documented, for example, in Ekström and Lu [5], that if the underlying asset is governed by an exponential Lévy model, the induced implied volatilities of non ATM options may explode if jumps exist and the underlying process jumps towards the strike. As we shall see in the following, when $H < \frac{1}{2}$, the small time approximation of implied volatility also explodes; creating a jump like behavior in the underlying process.

Let $k = \log K - \log s_0$ be the logmoneyness, t the time to expiry, and recall that $S_t = s_0 e^{x_t}$. Though equivalently, we shall be primarily working with the (X_t, Y_t) process as in (2.5):(2.6) rather than the (S_t, α_t) process in (2.3):(2.4) hereafter. We write the price C of a call as a function of k and t as

$$\begin{aligned} C(k, t) &:= \mathbb{E}[(S_t - K)^+] = s_0 \mathbb{E}[(e^{x_t} - e^k)^+] \\ &= s_0 \iint (e^{x_t} - e^k)^+ p(t, x_t, y_t | x_0, y_0) dx_t dy_t. \end{aligned}$$

To evaluate the last integral, we approximate the joint density p by the small time asymptotics obtained in Theorem 3.1, then, as $t \rightarrow 0^+$, apply Laplace asymptotic formula to the resulting integral. For reader's convenience, we provide with proof in Section 7.2 a variation of the Laplace asymptotic formula that is tailored for our own use.

Lemma 4.1. *For out-of-the-money call options, i.e., $k > 0$, the call price $C(k, t)$ has the following asymptotic as $t \rightarrow 0$*

$$\log C(k, t) \approx -\frac{1}{2t^{2H}} \left\{ \frac{\eta_*^2}{\nu^2} + \frac{1}{y_0^2 \psi(\eta_*)} \left(\frac{k}{t^{\frac{1}{2}-H}} - \rho y_0 C_{RK}(\eta_*) \frac{\eta_*}{\nu} \right)^2 \right\}, \quad (4.1)$$

where η_* is the minimizer

$$\eta_* = \operatorname{argmin} \left\{ \eta \in \mathbb{R} : \frac{\eta^2}{\nu^2} + \frac{1}{y_0^2 \psi(\eta)} \left(\frac{k}{t^{\frac{1}{2}-H}} - \rho y_0 C_{RK}(\eta) \frac{\eta}{\nu} \right)^2 \right\}.$$

Proof. The proof is a straightforward application of the Laplace asymptotic formula (7.4) in Lemma 7.1. We show the case $H \leq \frac{1}{2}$ only since the proof for $H \geq \frac{1}{2}$ is similar. Let $\Omega = \{(x_t, y_t) : x_t > k\}$ and $\alpha = \frac{1}{2} - H \geq 0$. Consider

$$\begin{aligned} C(k, t) &= s_0 \iint_{\Omega} (e^{x_t} - e^k) p(t, x_t, y_t | x_0, y_0) dx_t dy_t \\ &= \frac{s_0}{2\pi} \iint_{\Omega} (e^{x_t} - e^k) \left\{ \frac{1}{y_t \sqrt{\nu^2 t^{2H}}} e^{-\frac{\eta_t^2}{2\nu^2 t^{2H}}} \frac{1}{y_0 \sqrt{\tilde{v}_t}} e^{-\frac{1}{2y_0^2 \tilde{v}_t} (x_t - x_0 - \rho y_0 C_{RK}(\eta_t) \frac{\eta_t}{\nu} t^{\frac{1}{2}-H})^2} \right. \\ &\quad \left. e^{-\frac{x_t - x_0}{2\psi(\eta_t)} C_{eR}(\eta_t)} \left(1 + o\left(t^{\frac{1}{2}-H}\right) \right) \right\} dx_t dy_t \\ &= \frac{s_0}{2\pi \nu y_0 t^{H+\frac{1}{2}}} \iint_{\Omega} \left(\frac{e^{x_t} - e^k}{\sqrt{\psi(\eta_t)}} \right) e^{-\frac{x_t - x_0}{2\psi(\eta_t)} C_{eR}(\eta_t)} \times \\ &\quad e^{-\frac{1}{2t} \left\{ \frac{\eta_t^2}{\nu^2} t^{2\alpha} + \frac{1}{y_0^2 \psi(\eta_t)} (x_t - x_0 - \rho y_0 C_{RK}(\eta_t) \frac{\eta_t}{\nu} t^{\alpha})^2 \right\}} \left(1 + o\left(t^{\frac{1}{2}-H}\right) \right) dx_t d\eta_t. \end{aligned}$$

Applying the Laplace asymptotic formula (7.4) to the lowest order term in the last expression yields

$$\begin{aligned} -\log C(k, t) &\approx \frac{1}{2t} \left\{ \frac{\eta_*^2}{\nu^2} t^{2\alpha} + \frac{1}{y_0^2 \psi(\eta_*)} \left(x_* - x_0 - \rho y_0 C_{RK}(\eta_*) \frac{\eta_*}{\nu} t^{\alpha} \right)^2 \right\} \\ &= \frac{1}{2t^{2H}} \left\{ \frac{\eta_*^2}{\nu^2} + \frac{1}{y_0^2 \psi(\eta_*)} \left(\frac{x_*}{t^{\alpha}} - x_0 - \rho y_0 C_{RK}(\eta_*) \frac{\eta_*}{\nu} \right)^2 \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

where, for fixed t , (x_*, η_*) is the minimizer of the function

$$\begin{aligned} (x_*, \eta_*) &= \operatorname{argmin} \left\{ (x, \eta) : \frac{\eta^2}{\nu^2} t^{2\alpha} + \frac{1}{y_0^2 \psi(\eta)} \left(x - x_0 - \rho y_0 C_{RK}(\eta) \frac{\eta}{\nu} t^{\alpha} \right)^2 \right\} \\ &= \operatorname{argmin} \left\{ (x, \eta) : \frac{\eta^2}{\nu^2} + \frac{1}{y_0^2 \psi(\eta)} \left(\frac{x}{t^{\alpha}} - x_0 - \rho y_0 C_{RK}(\eta) \frac{\eta}{\nu} \right)^2 \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Since the objective function is continuous in (x, η) , it follows that $x_* = k$, thereby

$$\eta_* = \operatorname{argmin} \left\{ \eta : \frac{\eta^2}{\nu^2} + \frac{1}{y_0^2 \psi(\eta)} \left(\frac{k}{t^{\alpha}} - \rho y_0 C_{RK}(\eta) \frac{\eta}{\nu} \right)^2 \right\}.$$

□

Remark 4.1. The plots in Figure 1 shows graphically the uniqueness of the minimal point η_* for $H = \frac{1}{4}$ and $H = \frac{3}{4}$. In these particular examples, the contours are convex in the half plane $x > 0$, which corresponds to the out-of-the-money calls. For out-of-the-money puts, $x < 0$, though the contours are not convex, the uniqueness of η_* sustains.




FIGURE 1. The contour plots. Parameters $\rho = -0.7$, $\nu = 1$, $y_0 = 1$, $t = 0.5$. $H = 0.75$ on the right; $H = 0.25$, on the left.

So long as we establish an asymptotic for the log price $\log C(k, t)$ for $k > 0$, by using the following small time asymptotic for implied volatility in [7] or [14]

$$\sigma_{BS}(k, t) = \frac{|k|}{\sqrt{2t|\log C(k, t)|}} + O\left(\frac{\log |\log C(k, t)|}{\sqrt{t}|\log C(k, t)|^{3/2}}\right), \quad (4.2)$$

an asymptotic formula for implied volatility follows immediate. We summarize the result in the following theorem but omitting its proof.

Theorem 4.1.

Let $k = \log\left(\frac{K}{s_0}\right)$ be the log moneyness and $\alpha = \frac{1}{2} - H$. The implied volatility $\sigma_{BS}(k, t)$ for out-of-the-money calls ($k > 0$) has the following asymptotic in small time to expiry

$$\sigma_{BS}^2(k, t) = \sigma_{BS}^2\left(\frac{k}{t^\alpha}\right) \approx \frac{k^2}{t^{2\alpha}} \left\{ \frac{\eta_*^2}{\nu^2} + \frac{1}{y_0^2 \psi(\eta_*)} \left(\frac{k}{t^\alpha} - \rho y_0^2 C_{RK}(\eta_*) \frac{\eta_*}{\nu} \right)^2 \right\}^{-1}. \quad (4.3)$$

The minimal point η_* is given Lemma in 4.1.

Remark 4.2. *Note that (4.3) does not recover the SABR formula when $H = \frac{1}{2}$. The derivation of the SABR formula relies heavily on the geometry and symmetry of the underlying SABR plane which is isometric to the Poincaré plane. Figure 2 shows the comparison between the two formulas with time to expiry $t = 1$. Parameters are chosen so as to reproduce the figures in [11]. In this set of parameters, the maximal difference between the two approximate implied volatility curves is about 1% for logmoneyness $k \in [-1, 1]$.*




FIGURE 2. The plot on the left shows the approximate implied volatility curves versus logmoneyness with time to expiry $t = 1$ produced by (4.3) (in blue) the SABR formula (1.3) (in red). Parameters are set as $\rho = -0.06867$, $\nu = 0.5778$, $a_0 = 0.13927$. The plot on the right shows the difference between the two curves.

We conclude the section by remarking that, as time to expiry t approaches zero, the approximate implied volatility $\sigma_{\text{BS}}(k, t)$ flattens out with $H > \frac{1}{2}$; whereas the whole surface $\sigma_{\text{BS}}(k, t)$ explodes with $H < \frac{1}{2}$ except for the at-the-money option $k = 0$. Figure 3 shows the plots of approximate implied volatilities σ given in (4.3) versus logmoneyness k for time to expiry $t = 0.01$ and $t = 1$ respectively, and various Hurst exponents H . As in Figure 2, parameters are chosen as $a_0 = 0.13927$, $\nu = 0.5778$, and $\rho = -0.06867$. The numerical determination of the η_* 's is relatively efficient since it is basically a one-dimensional optimization problem.




FIGURE 3. The implied volatility curves for $t = 0.01$ on the left, $t = 1$ on the right. Parameters are set as $\rho = -0.06867$, $\nu = 0.5778$, $a_0 = 0.13927$. $H = 0.1$ in red, $H = 0.3$ in orange, $H = \frac{1}{2}$ in green, $H = 0.7$ in blue, $H = 0.9$ in purple.

5. LARGE DEVIATION PRINCIPLE

In this section, we provide a heuristic derivation of the sample path large deviation principle for (X_t, Y_t) in small time by bootstrapping the bridge representation to multiperiod. For notational simplicity, we introduce the following vector notations.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{t} &= (t_1, \dots, t_n), & \mathbf{x}_t &= (x_{t_1}, \dots, x_{t_n}), & \mathbf{y}_t &= (y_{t_1}, \dots, y_{t_n}), \\ \mathbf{B}_t^H &= (B_{t_1}^H, \dots, B_{t_n}^H), & \mathbf{X}_t &= (X_{t_1}, \dots, X_{t_n}), & \mathbf{Y}_t &= (Y_{t_1}, \dots, Y_{t_n}), \\ \boldsymbol{\xi}_t &= (\xi_{t_1}, \dots, \xi_{t_n}), & \boldsymbol{\eta}_t &= (\eta_{t_1}, \dots, \eta_{t_n}), & \boldsymbol{\zeta}_t &= (\zeta_{t_1}, \dots, \zeta_{t_n}). \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 5.1. *The multiperiod joint density p of (X_t, Y_t)*

$$p(x_1, y_1, \dots, x_n, y_n) := \mathbb{P}[(X_{t_1}, Y_{t_1}) = (x_1, y_1), \dots, (X_{t_n}, Y_{t_n}) = (x_n, y_n)]$$

has the following bridge representation

$$\begin{aligned} & p(x_1, y_1, \dots, x_n, y_n) \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left[\prod_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi y_0^2 \bar{\rho}^2 \Delta v_{t_k}}} e^{-\frac{1}{2y_0^2 \bar{\rho}^2 \Delta v_{t_k}} \left(\Delta x_{t_k} - y_0 \rho \int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k} e^{\nu B_s^H} dB_s + \frac{y_0^2}{2} \Delta v_{t_k} \right)^2} \middle| \nu \mathbf{B}_t^H = \boldsymbol{\eta}_t \right] \times \\ & \mathbb{P} \left[y_0 e^{\nu \mathbf{B}_t^H} = \mathbf{y}_t \right], \end{aligned} \tag{5.1}$$

where $\boldsymbol{\eta}_t = \log \mathbf{y}_t - \log y_0$, $\Delta x_{t_k} = x_{t_k} - x_{t_{k-1}}$, and $\Delta v_{t_k} = v_{t_k} - v_{t_{k-1}}$ for $k = 1, \dots, n$. Recall that $v_t = \int_0^t e^{\nu B_s^H} ds$.

Proof. For any bounded measurable function $f : \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, consider the expectation

$$\begin{aligned} & \iint f(\mathbf{x}_t, \mathbf{y}_t) p(\mathbf{x}_t, \mathbf{y}_t) d\mathbf{x}_t d\mathbf{y}_t \\ &= \mathbb{E} [f(\mathbf{X}_t, \mathbf{Y}_t)] \\ &= \mathbb{E} [\mathbb{E} [f(\mathbf{X}_t, \mathbf{Y}_t) | \mathcal{F}_{t_n}^B]]. \end{aligned}$$

Let $\xi_{t_i} = \int_0^{t_i} e^{\nu B_s^H} dW_s$, $\zeta_{t_i} = \int_0^{t_i} e^{\nu B_s^H} dB_s$ and thus accordingly $\Delta \xi_{t_i} = \xi_{t_i} - \xi_{t_{i-1}} = \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} e^{\nu B_s^H} dW_s$, $\Delta \zeta_{t_i} = \zeta_{t_i} - \zeta_{t_{i-1}} = \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} e^{\nu B_s^H} dB_s$. Note that, conditioned on $\mathcal{F}_{t_n}^B$, the random variables $\Delta \xi_{t_i}$'s are independent normal with mean 0 and variance Δv_{t_i} . We calculate the conditional expectation as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E} [f(\mathbf{X}_t, \mathbf{Y}_t) | \mathcal{F}_{t_n}^B] \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left[f \left(x_0 + \rho y_0 \zeta_t - \frac{y_0^2}{2} \mathbf{v}_t + \bar{\rho} y_0 \boldsymbol{\xi}_t, y_0 e^{\nu B_t^H} \right) \middle| \mathcal{F}_{t_n}^B \right] \\ &= \int f \left(x_0 + \rho y_0 \zeta_t - \frac{y_0^2}{2} \mathbf{v}_t + \bar{\rho} y_0 \boldsymbol{\xi}_t, y_0 e^{\nu B_t^H} \right) \prod_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi \Delta v_{t_k}}} e^{-\frac{(\Delta \xi_{t_k})^2}{2\Delta v_{t_k}}} d\Delta \boldsymbol{\xi}_t. \end{aligned} \quad (5.2)$$

By applying the change of variables

$$x_{t_k} = x_0 + \rho y_0 \zeta_{t_k} - \frac{y_0^2}{2} v_{t_k} + \bar{\rho} y_0 \xi_{t_k},$$

thus

$$\Delta \xi_{t_k} = \frac{1}{\bar{\rho} y_0} \left(\Delta x_{t_k} - \Delta \zeta_{t_k} - \frac{y_0^2}{2} \Delta v_{t_k} \right).$$

The integral (5.2) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} & \int f(\mathbf{x}_t, y_0 e^{\nu B_t^H}) \prod_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi \Delta v_{t_k}}} e^{-\frac{(\Delta \xi_{t_k})^2}{2\Delta v_{t_k}}} d\Delta \boldsymbol{\xi}_t \\ &= \int f(\mathbf{x}_t, y_0 e^{\nu B_t^H}) \prod_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi \bar{\rho}^2 y_0^2 \Delta v_{t_k}}} e^{-\frac{1}{2\bar{\rho} y_0 \Delta v_{t_k}} \left(\Delta x_{t_k} - \rho y_0 \int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k} e^{\nu B_s^H} dB_s - \frac{y_0^2}{2} \Delta v_{t_k} \right)^2} d\Delta \mathbf{x}_t \\ &= \int f(\mathbf{x}_t, y_0 e^{\nu B_t^H}) \prod_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi \bar{\rho}^2 y_0^2 \Delta v_{t_k}}} e^{-\frac{1}{2\bar{\rho} y_0 \Delta v_{t_k}} \left(\Delta x_{t_k} - \rho y_0 \int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k} e^{\nu B_s^H} dB_s - \frac{y_0^2}{2} \Delta v_{t_k} \right)^2} d\mathbf{x}_t \end{aligned}$$

since the Jacobian between $d\Delta \mathbf{x}_t$ and $d\mathbf{x}_t$ is 1. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & \iint f(\mathbf{x}_t, \mathbf{y}_t) p(\mathbf{x}_t, \mathbf{y}_t) d\mathbf{x}_t d\mathbf{y}_t \\ &= \mathbb{E} [\mathbb{E} [f(\mathbf{X}_t, \mathbf{Y}_t) | \mathcal{F}_t^B]] \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \mathbb{E} \left[\int f(\mathbf{x}_t, y_0 e^{\nu \mathbf{B}_t^H}) \prod_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi \bar{\rho}^2 y_0^2 \Delta v_{t_k}}} e^{-\frac{1}{2\bar{\rho} y_0 \Delta v_{t_k}} \left(\Delta x_{t_k} - \rho y_0 \int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k} e^{\nu \mathbf{B}_s^H} dB_s - \frac{y_0^2}{2} \Delta v_{t_k} \right)^2} d\mathbf{x}_t \right] \\
&= \iint d\mathbf{x}_t d\mathbf{y}_t f(\mathbf{x}_t, \mathbf{y}_t) \times \\
&\quad \mathbb{E} \left[\prod_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi \bar{\rho}^2 y_0^2 \Delta v_{t_k}}} e^{-\frac{1}{2\bar{\rho} y_0 \Delta v_{t_k}} \left(\Delta x_{t_k} - \rho y_0 \int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k} e^{\nu \mathbf{B}_s^H} dB_s - \frac{y_0^2}{2} \Delta v_{t_k} \right)^2} \middle| \nu \mathbf{B}_t^H = \boldsymbol{\eta}_t \right] \times \\
&\quad \mathbb{P} \left[y_0 e^{\nu \mathbf{B}_t^H} = \mathbf{y}_t \right].
\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of bridge representation (5.1) since f is arbitrary. \square

To move onto a heuristic derivation of the sample path large deviation principle for (X_t, Y_t) in small time, we take logarithm on both sides of (5.1) and obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\log p(x_{t_1}, y_{t_1}, \dots, x_{t_n}, y_{t_n}) \\
&= \log \mathbb{E} \left[\prod_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi y_0^2 \bar{\rho}^2 \Delta v_{t_k}}} e^{-\frac{1}{2y_0^2 \bar{\rho}^2 \Delta v_{t_k}} \left(\Delta x_{t_k} - y_0 \rho \int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k} e^{\nu \mathbf{B}_s^H} dB_s + \frac{y_0^2}{2} \Delta v_{t_k} \right)^2} \middle| \nu \mathbf{B}_t^H = \boldsymbol{\eta} \right] \\
&\quad + \log \mathbb{P} [\nu \mathbf{B}_t^H = \boldsymbol{\eta}_t] - \sum \log y_{t_i}. \tag{5.3}
\end{aligned}$$

In the following, we ignore the last term on the right hand side of (5.3) and intuitively calculate the limits as $n \rightarrow \infty$ of the first two terms. Note that to the leading order we have

$$\log \mathbb{P} [\nu \mathbf{B}_t^H = \boldsymbol{\eta}_t] \approx -\frac{1}{2\nu^2} \boldsymbol{\eta}' \mathbf{R}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\eta},$$

where $\mathbf{R} = [R(t_i, t_j)]$ is the covariance matrix of \mathbf{B}_t^H . We further discretize the autovariance R of fractional Brownian motion as

$$\begin{aligned}
R(t_i, t_j) &= \mathbb{E} [B_{t_i}^H B_{t_j}^H] = \int_0^{t_i \wedge t_j} K_H(t_i, s) K_H(t_j, s) ds \\
&\approx \sum_{k=0}^{i \wedge j} K_H(t_i, t_k) K_H(t_j, t_k) \Delta t = \mathbf{K}' \mathbf{K} \Delta t,
\end{aligned}$$

where \mathbf{K} denotes the upper triangular matrix

$$\mathbf{K}_{ij} = \begin{cases} K_H(t_i, t_j), & \text{if } i \geq j; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Thereby, $\mathbf{R}^{-1} = \frac{1}{\Delta t} \mathbf{K}^{-1} (\mathbf{K}')^{-1}$. Let $\mathbf{b} = (b_{t_1}, \dots, b_{t_n})$ be the solution to the linear system

$$\frac{\boldsymbol{\eta}}{\nu} = \mathbf{K} \mathbf{b} \Delta t.$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2\nu^2} \boldsymbol{\eta}' \mathbf{R}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\eta} &= \frac{1}{2} \Delta t \mathbf{b}' \mathbf{K}' \mathbf{R}^{-1} \mathbf{K} \mathbf{b} \Delta t \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{b}' \mathbf{b} \Delta t = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^n b_{t_k}^2 \Delta t \\ &\longrightarrow \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T b_t^2 dt \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Also in the limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain $\eta_t = \nu \int_0^t K_H(t, s) b_s ds$.

On the other hand, for the first term on the right hand side of (5.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\log \mathbb{E} \left[\prod_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi y_0^2 \bar{\rho}^2 \Delta v_{t_k}}} e^{-\frac{1}{2y_0^2 \bar{\rho}^2 \Delta v_{t_k}} \left(\Delta x_{t_k} - y_0 \rho \int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k} e^{\nu B_s^H} dB_s + \frac{y_0^2}{2} \Delta v_{t_k} \right)^2} \middle| \nu \mathbf{B}^H = \boldsymbol{\eta} \right] \\ &\approx \sum_{k=1}^n \mathbb{E} \left[-\frac{1}{2y_0^2 \bar{\rho}^2 \Delta v_{t_k}} \left(\Delta x_{t_k} - y_0 \rho \int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k} e^{\nu B_s^H} dB_s \right)^2 \middle| \nu \mathbf{B}^H = \boldsymbol{\eta} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Note that conditioned on $\nu \mathbf{B}^H = \boldsymbol{\eta}$, we have

$$\Delta v_{t_k} = \int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k} e^{2\nu B_s^H} ds \approx e^{2\eta_{t_{k-1}}} \Delta t = e^{2\nu \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} K_H(t_{k-1}, t_j) b_{t_j} \Delta t} \Delta t$$

as well as

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta x_{t_k} - y_0 \rho \int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k} e^{\nu B_s^H} dB_s &\approx \Delta x_{t_k} - y_0 \rho e^{\eta_{t_{k-1}}} b_{t_{k-1}} \Delta t \\ &= \left(\frac{\Delta x_{t_k}}{\Delta t} - y_0 \rho e^{\nu \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} K_H(t_{k-1}, t_j) b_{t_j} \Delta t} b_{t_{k-1}} \right) \Delta t. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that the first term in (5.3) has the limit

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{k=1}^n \mathbb{E} \left[-\frac{1}{2y_0^2 \bar{\rho}^2 \Delta v_{t_k}} \left(\Delta x_{t_k} - y_0 \rho \int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k} e^{\nu B_s^H} dB_s \right)^2 \middle| \nu \mathbf{B}^H = \boldsymbol{\eta} \right] \\ &\approx -\sum_{k=0}^n \frac{1}{2y_0^2 \bar{\rho}^2 e^{2\nu \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} K_H(t_{k-1}, t_j) b_{t_j} \Delta t}} \left(\frac{\Delta x_{t_k}}{\Delta t} - y_0 \rho e^{\nu \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} K_H(t_{k-1}, t_j) b_{t_j} \Delta t} b_{t_{k-1}} \right)^2 \Delta t \\ &\longrightarrow -\frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \frac{1}{y_0^2 \bar{\rho}^2 e^{2\nu \int_0^t K_H(t, s) b_s ds}} \left(\dot{x}_t - y_0 \rho e^{\nu \int_0^t K_H(t, s) b_s ds} b_t \right)^2 dt \end{aligned}$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Putting the two limits together, we obtain heuristically for $T \approx 0$ that

$$\begin{aligned} &-\log \mathbb{P} [X_t = x_t, Y_t = y_t, \text{ for } t \in [0, T]] \\ &\approx \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \frac{1}{y_0^2 \bar{\rho}^2 e^{2\nu \int_0^t K_H(t, s) b_s ds}} \left(\dot{x}_t - y_0 \rho e^{\nu \int_0^t K_H(t, s) b_s ds} b_t \right)^2 dt + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T b_t^2 dt \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \frac{1}{\bar{\rho}^2 y_t^2} (\dot{x}_t - \rho y_t b_t)^2 dt + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T b_t^2 dt \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \frac{1}{\bar{\rho}^2} \left(\frac{\dot{x}_t}{y_t} - \rho b_t \right)^2 dt + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T b_t^2 dt,
\end{aligned} \tag{5.4}$$

where $b \in L^2[0, T]$ satisfies the integral equation

$$\log y_t - \log y_0 = \nu \int_0^t K_H(t, s) b_s ds$$

for all $t \in [0, T]$. We remark that (5.4) should serve as the rate function for the sample path large deviation principle in small time for (X_t, Y_t) . Moreover, one may define the “geodesic” from the initial point (x_0, y_0) to the terminal point (x_T, y_T) in the fSABR plane as the path (x_t^*, y_t^*) which minimizes the functional (5.4), i.e.,

$$(x_t^*, y_t^*) := \operatorname{argmin}_{t \mapsto (x_t, y_t)} \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \frac{1}{\bar{\rho}^2} \left(\frac{\dot{x}_t}{y_t} - \rho b_t \right)^2 dt + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T b_t^2 dt,$$

where again b_t is determined by solving the integral equation

$$\log y_t - \log y_0 = \nu \int_0^t K_H(t, s) b_s ds. \tag{5.5}$$

Also, the minimizer can be regarded as the “geodesic” connecting (x_0, y_0) and (x_T, y_T) .

Remark 5.1. Note that b_t is indeed determined by the inverse operator K_H^{-1} applied to $\log \frac{y_t}{y_0}$. In particular, with $H = \frac{1}{2}$ this inverse operator reduces to the usual derivative. Thus, with $H = \frac{1}{2}$,

$$b_t = \frac{d}{dt} \left(\log \frac{y_t}{y_0} \right) = \frac{\dot{y}_t}{y_t}.$$

The functional (5.4) becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \frac{1}{\bar{\rho}^2} \left(\frac{\dot{x}_t}{y_t} - \rho b_t \right)^2 dt + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T b_t^2 dt \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \frac{1}{\bar{\rho}^2} \left(\frac{\dot{x}_t}{y_t} - \rho \frac{\dot{y}_t}{y_t} \right)^2 dt + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \frac{\dot{y}_t^2}{y_t^2} dt \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \frac{1}{\bar{\rho}^2 y_t^2} (\dot{x}_t^2 - 2\rho \dot{x}_t \dot{y}_t + \dot{y}_t^2) dt.
\end{aligned}$$

The last expression is the energy functional (up to the constant factor $\frac{1}{2}$) associated with the Riemann metric $ds^2 = \frac{1}{\bar{\rho}^2 y^2} (dx^2 - 2\rho dx dy + dy^2)$. The diffusion process associated with this Riemann metric is governed by the SDEs

$$dX_t = Y_t dW_t,$$

$$dY_t = Y_t dZ_t,$$

where W_t and Z_t are correlated Brownian motion with constant correlation ρ , which up to a linear transformation is the upper plane model of the Poincaré space. In other words, with $H = \frac{1}{2}$, the functional (5.4) recovers the energy functional for the classical Poincaré space, which is isometric to the SABR plane.

Lastly, with the aid of sample path large deviation principle (5.4), it is nearly a common practice, say by applying the Laplace asymptotic formula, to conclude that the log premium of an out-of-the-money call in small time has the asymptotic as $t \rightarrow 0$

$$-\log C(k, t) \approx -\log \mathbb{P}[X_t \geq k] \approx \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \frac{1}{\bar{\rho}^2} \left(\frac{\dot{x}_t^*}{y_t^*} - \rho b_t^* \right)^2 dt + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T b_t^{*2} dt,$$

where (x_t^*, y_t^*, b_t^*) denotes the optimal path that minimizes the functional (5.4) subject to the constraint $x_t^* = k$ and y_t^*, b_t^* satisfy the integral equation (5.5). Thus, by applying (4.2), an approximation of implied volatility in small time is readily obtained. We summarize the result in the following proposition which, with $H = \frac{1}{2}$, recovers the SABR formula (1.3). However, for $H \neq \frac{1}{2}$, the numerical implementation of (5.6) is more involved than that of (4.3) since, as opposed to a one dimensional optimization problem, it is subject to solving a two-dimensional constrained variational problem.

Proposition 5.1. (*fSABR formula*)

Let $k = \log \left(\frac{K}{s_0} \right)$ be the log moneyness. The implied volatility $\sigma_{BS}(k, t)$ in small time to expiry has the asymptotics

$$\sigma_{BS}^2 \approx \frac{k^2}{T} \left(\int_0^T \left\{ \frac{1}{\bar{\rho}^2 y_t^{*2}} (\dot{x}_t^* - \rho y_t^* b_t^*)^2 + b_t^{*2} \right\} dt \right)^{-1}, \quad (5.6)$$

where (x_t^*, b_t^*) is the minimizer of the variational problem

$$(x^*, b^*) = \operatorname{argmin} \left\{ \dot{x}, b \in L^2[0, T] : \int_0^T \left(\frac{1}{\bar{\rho}^2 y_t^2} (\dot{x}_t - \rho y_t b_t)^2 + b_t^2 \right) dt \right\}$$

with $x_T = k$ and y_t^* satisfying

$$\log y_t^* - \log y_0 = \nu \int_0^t K_H(t, s) b_s^* ds$$

for $t \in [0, T]$. Notice that (5.6) recovers the SABR formula (1.3) with $H = \frac{1}{2}$.

6. CONCLUSION AND DISCUSSION

We showed in this paper a bridge representation in Fourier space and a small time asymptotic for the joint probability of lognormal fractional SABR model for general $\rho \in (-1, 1)$. An application of the asymptotics of the joint density is an

approximation of the implied volatility in small time. Due to the different nature of methodologies, the newly obtained approximation of implied volatilities in small time does not recover the celebrated SABR formula for implied volatility (to the zeroth order) when the Hurst exponent H equals a half. To recover the SABR formula, we presented a heuristic derivation of the sample path large deviation principle for the lognormal fractional SABR model by bootstrapping via the multiperiod joint density. We emphasize once again that the same trick is applicable to general fractional SABR models, i.e., to include a local volatility component in the process S_t for underlying asset. We leave the rigorous proof of the sample path large deviation principle for fractional SABR models in a future work. Lastly, the bridge representation methodology is also applicable to the case in which the volatility process is governed by an exponential fractional Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process since a fractional Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process is Gaussian as well. However, as time to expiry approaches zero, the mean reversion part does not really play a role in the large deviation regime.

7. APPENDIX - TECHNICAL PROOFS

7.1. Error analysis. In the following, a function g is denoted by $g(t) = O(t^a)$ as $t \rightarrow 0^+$ if it satisfies

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{|g(t)|}{t^a} < \infty.$$

Let $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$ be the space of smooth functions with compact support defined on \mathbb{R}^2 . For a given $f \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$, consider

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[f(X_t, Y_t)] &= \iint f(x_t, y_t) p(t, x_t, y_t | x_0, y_0) dx_t dy_t \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \iiint f(x_t, y_t) \frac{e^{-\frac{\eta_t^2}{2\nu^2 t^{2H}}}}{y_t \sqrt{2\pi\nu^2 t^{2H}}} e^{i(x_t - x_0)\xi} \mathbb{E}_{\eta_t} \left[e^{i\left(-\rho \int_0^t y_0 e^{\nu B_s^H} dB_s + \frac{y_0^2}{2} v_t\right)\xi} e^{-\frac{\bar{\rho}^2 y_0^2 v_t}{2} \xi^2} \right] d\xi dx_t dy_t \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \iint e^{-ix_0\xi} \hat{f}(\xi, y_t) \frac{e^{-\frac{\eta_t^2}{2\nu^2 t^{2H}}}}{y_t \sqrt{2\pi\nu^2 t^{2H}}} \mathbb{E}_{\eta_t} \left[e^{i\left(-\rho \int_0^t y_0 e^{\nu B_s^H} dB_s + \frac{y_0^2}{2} v_t\right)\xi} e^{-\frac{\bar{\rho}^2 y_0^2 v_t}{2} \xi^2} \right] dy_t d\xi \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int e^{-ix_0\xi} \mathbb{E} \left[\hat{f}(\xi, Y_t) e^{i\left(-\rho \int_0^t y_0 e^{\nu B_s^H} dB_s + \frac{y_0^2}{2} v_t\right)\xi} e^{-\frac{\bar{\rho}^2 y_0^2 v_t}{2} \xi^2} \right] d\xi, \end{aligned} \tag{7.1}$$

where

$$\hat{f}(\xi, y_t) = \int e^{i\xi x_t} f(x_t, y_t) dx_t$$

is the Fourier transform of f with respect to x_t . Note that $\hat{f} \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$ as well. We compare (7.1) with the following expression obtained by using the approximate joint

density (3.1).

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2\pi} \iiint f(x_t, y_t) \frac{e^{-\frac{\eta_t^2}{2\nu^2 t^{2H}}}}{y_t \sqrt{2\pi\nu^2 t^{2H}}} e^{i(x_t - x_0)\xi} e^{-\frac{1}{2}(\bar{\rho}^2 \xi - i)\xi \int_0^t y_0^2 e^{2\nu m_s} ds} \mathbb{E}_{\eta_t} \left[e^{-i\rho \xi \int_0^t y_0 e^{\nu m_s} dB_s} \right] d\xi dx_t dy_t \\
&= \frac{1}{2\pi} \iint e^{-ix_0 \xi} \hat{f}(\xi, y_t) \frac{e^{-\frac{\eta_t^2}{2\nu^2 t^{2H}}}}{y_t \sqrt{2\pi\nu^2 t^{2H}}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}(\bar{\rho}^2 \xi - i)\xi \int_0^t y_0^2 e^{2\nu m_s} ds} \mathbb{E}_{\eta_t} \left[e^{-i\rho \xi \int_0^t y_0 e^{\nu m_s} dB_s} \right] dy_t d\xi \\
&= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int e^{-ix_0 \xi} \mathbb{E} \left[\hat{f}(\xi, Y_t) \hat{\varphi}(\eta_t) \right] d\xi,
\end{aligned} \tag{7.2}$$

where $\hat{\varphi}(\eta)$ is defined as

$$\hat{\varphi}(\eta) = e^{i\left(-\rho y_0 C_{RK}(\eta) \frac{\eta}{\nu} t^{\frac{1}{2}-H} + \frac{y_0^2}{2} C_{eR}(\eta) t\right) \xi} e^{-\frac{\xi^2}{2} y_0^2 \{C_{eR}(\eta) - \rho^2 C_{RK}^2(\eta)\} t}.$$

The goal is to show that the difference between (7.1) and (7.2) converges to zero in the order of t^γ as $t \rightarrow 0$, where recall that $\gamma = \min\{H + \frac{1}{2}, 1\}$. Specifically,

$$\left| \frac{1}{2\pi} \int e^{-ix_0 \xi} \mathbb{E} \left[\hat{f}(\xi, Y_t) \left\{ e^{i\left(-\rho \int_0^t y_0 e^{\nu B_s^H} dB_s + \frac{y_0^2}{2} v_t\right) \xi} e^{-\frac{\bar{\rho}^2 y_0^2 v_t}{2} \xi^2} - \hat{\varphi}(\eta_t) \right\} \right] d\xi \right| = O(t^\gamma)$$

for every $f \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$.

Note that the difference between (7.1) and (7.2) satisfies

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| \frac{1}{2\pi} \int e^{-ix_0 \xi} \mathbb{E} \left[\hat{f}(\xi, Y_t) \left\{ e^{i\left(-\rho \int_0^t y_0 e^{\nu B_s^H} dB_s + \frac{y_0^2}{2} v_t\right) \xi} e^{-\frac{\bar{\rho}^2 y_0^2 v_t}{2} \xi^2} - \hat{\varphi}(\eta_t) \right\} \right] d\xi \right| \\
&\leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int \mathbb{E} \left[\left| \hat{f}(\xi, Y_t) \right| \left| e^{i\left(-\rho \int_0^t y_0 e^{\nu B_s^H} dB_s + \frac{y_0^2}{2} v_t\right) \xi} e^{-\frac{\bar{\rho}^2 y_0^2 v_t}{2} \xi^2} - \hat{\varphi}(\eta_t) \right| \right] d\xi.
\end{aligned}$$

By applying the following inequality, for any $z, w \in \mathbb{C}$,

$$|e^z - e^w| \leq (e^{\Re(z)} + e^{\Re(w)}) |z - w|,$$

where $\Re(z)$ denotes the real part of z , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| e^{i\left(-\rho \int_0^t y_0 e^{\nu B_s^H} dB_s + \frac{y_0^2}{2} v_t\right) \xi} e^{-\frac{\bar{\rho}^2 y_0^2 v_t}{2} \xi^2} - \hat{\varphi}(\eta_t) \right| \\
&\leq \left(e^{-\frac{\bar{\rho}^2 y_0^2 v_t}{2} \xi^2} + e^{-\frac{\xi^2}{2} y_0^2 \{C_{eR}(\eta_t) - \rho^2 C_{RK}^2(\eta_t)\} t} \right) \times \\
&\quad \left| i \left(-\rho \int_0^t y_0 e^{\nu B_s^H} dB_s + \frac{y_0^2}{2} v_t \right) \xi - \frac{\bar{\rho}^2 y_0^2 v_t}{2} \xi^2 \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \left\{ i \left(-\rho y_0 C_{RK}(\eta_t) \frac{\eta_t}{\nu} t^{\frac{1}{2}-H} + \frac{y_0^2}{2} C_{eR}(\eta_t) t \right) \xi - \frac{\xi^2}{2} y_0^2 \{C_{eR}(\eta_t) - \rho^2 C_{RK}^2(\eta_t)\} t \right\} \right| \\
&\leq 2 |\mathcal{R}_t + i\mathcal{I}_t|
\end{aligned}$$

since $e^{-\frac{\bar{\rho}^2 y_0^2 v_t}{2} \xi^2} + e^{-\frac{\xi^2}{2} y_0^2 \{C_{eR}(\eta_t) - \rho^2 C_{RK}^2(\eta_t)\} t} \leq 2$ for all t and ξ . Apparently, \mathcal{R}_t and \mathcal{I}_t are given by

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{R}_t &= [-\bar{\rho}^2 v_t + \{C_{eR}(\eta_t) - \rho^2 C_{RK}^2(\eta_t)\} t] \frac{y_0^2}{2} \xi^2, \\ \mathcal{I}_t &= \left(-\rho \int_0^t y_0 e^{\nu B_s^H} dB_s + \frac{y_0^2}{2} v_t + \rho y_0 C_{RK}(\eta_t) \frac{\eta_t}{\nu} t^{\frac{1}{2}-H} - \frac{y_0^2}{2} C_{eR}(\eta_t) t \right) \xi.\end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned}& \int \mathbb{E} \left[|\hat{f}(\xi, Y_t)| \left| e^{i \left(-\rho \int_0^t y_0 e^{\nu B_s^H} dB_s + \frac{y_0^2}{2} v_t \right) \xi} e^{-\frac{\bar{\rho}^2 y_0^2 v_t}{2} \xi^2} - \hat{\varphi}(\eta_t) \right| \right] d\xi \\ & \leq 2 \int \mathbb{E} \left[|\hat{f}(\xi, Y_t)| |\mathcal{R}_t + i\mathcal{I}_t| \right] d\xi.\end{aligned}$$

In the following, K denotes a generic constant whose value may vary in different contexts. Hölder's inequality implies that

$$\begin{aligned}& \int \mathbb{E} \left[|\hat{f}(\xi, Y_t)| |\mathcal{R}_t + i\mathcal{I}_t| \right] d\xi \\ & \leq \left(\mathbb{E} \int |\hat{f}(\xi, Y_t)|^{(1-\epsilon)p} d\xi \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\int \mathbb{E} \left[|\hat{f}(\xi, Y_t)|^{\epsilon q} |\mathcal{R}_t + i\mathcal{I}_t|^q \right] d\xi \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ & \leq K \left(\mathbb{E} \int |\hat{f}(\xi, Y_t)|^{(1-\epsilon)p} d\xi \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\int \mathbb{E} \left[|\hat{f}(\xi, Y_t)|^{\epsilon q} (|\mathcal{R}_t|^q + |\mathcal{I}_t|^q) \right] d\xi \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \quad (7.3)\end{aligned}$$

for some $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$ and $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$, $p, q > 0$. Since $\hat{f} \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$, it follows that

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \mathbb{E} \int |\hat{f}(\xi, Y_t)|^{(1-\epsilon)p} d\xi < \infty.$$

We compute the second term in (7.3) separately as follows.

$$\begin{aligned}& \int \mathbb{E} \left[|\hat{f}(\xi, Y_t)|^{\epsilon q} |\mathcal{R}_t|^q \right] d\xi \\ &= \int \mathbb{E} \left[|\hat{f}(\xi, Y_t)|^{\epsilon q} \left| [-\bar{\rho}^2 v_t + \{C_{eR}(\eta_t) - \rho^2 C_{RK}^2(\eta_t)\} t] \frac{y_0^2}{2} \xi^2 \right|^q \right] d\xi \\ &\leq K y_0^{2q} \int \mathbb{E} \left[|\hat{f}(\xi, Y_t)|^{\epsilon q} (\bar{\rho}^{2q} v_t^q + [\{C_{eR}(\eta_t) - \rho^2 C_{RK}^2(\eta_t)\} t]^q) \right] \xi^{2q} d\xi \\ &= t^q K y_0^{2q} \int \mathbb{E} \left[|\hat{f}(\xi, Y_t)|^{\epsilon q} \left(\bar{\rho}^{2q} \left(\int_0^1 e^{2\nu B_{tu}^H} du \right)^q + [\{C_{eR}(\eta_t) - \rho^2 C_{RK}^2(\eta_t)\}]^q \right) \right] \xi^{2q} d\xi \\ &= t^q K y_0^{2q} (\bar{\rho}^{2q} L_1 + L_2)\end{aligned}$$

where

$$L_1 := \int \xi^{2q} \mathbb{E} \left[|\hat{f}(\xi, Y_t)|^{\epsilon q} \left(\int_0^1 e^{2\nu B_{tu}^H} du \right)^q \right] d\xi,$$

$$L_2 := \int \xi^{2q} \mathbb{E} \left[|\hat{f}(\xi, Y_t)|^{\epsilon q} \left[\{C_{eR}(\eta_t) - \rho^2 C_{RK}^2(\eta_t)\} \right]^q \right] d\xi.$$

Consider L_1 and L_2 separately. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E} \left[|\hat{f}(\xi, Y_t)|^{\epsilon q} \left(\int_0^1 e^{2\nu B_{tu}^H} du \right)^q \right] \\ & \leq \mathbb{E} \left[|\hat{f}(\xi, Y_t)|^{\epsilon q} \int_0^1 e^{2q\nu B_{tu}^H} du \right] \quad (\text{Jensen}) \\ & \leq \left\{ \mathbb{E} \left[|\hat{f}(\xi, Y_t)|^{\epsilon q p_1} \right] \right\}^{\frac{1}{p_1}} \left\{ \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\int_0^1 e^{2q\nu B_{tu}^H} du \right)^{q_1} \right] \right\}^{\frac{1}{q_1}} \quad (\text{Hölder}) \\ & \leq \left\{ \mathbb{E} \left[|\hat{f}(\xi, Y_t)|^{\epsilon q p_1} \right] \right\}^{\frac{1}{p_1}} \left\{ \int_0^1 \mathbb{E} \left[e^{2qq_1\nu B_{tu}^H} \right] du \right\}^{\frac{1}{q_1}} \quad (\text{Jensen}) \\ & = \left\{ \mathbb{E} \left[|\hat{f}(\xi, Y_t)|^{\epsilon q p_1} \right] \right\}^{\frac{1}{p_1}} \left\{ \int_0^1 e^{2(qq_1\nu)^2(tu)^{2H}} du \right\}^{\frac{1}{q_1}}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow 0^+} L_1 \leq \limsup_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \int \xi^{2q} \left\{ \mathbb{E} \left[|\hat{f}(\xi, Y_t)|^{\epsilon q p_1} \right] \right\}^{\frac{1}{p_1}} d\xi \left\{ \int_0^1 e^{2(qq_1\nu)^2(tu)^{2H}} du \right\}^{\frac{1}{q_1}} < \infty.$$

By the same token, one can also show that $\limsup_{t \rightarrow 0^+} L_2 < \infty$. Thus, as $t \rightarrow 0^+$,

$$\int \mathbb{E} \left[|\hat{f}(\xi, Y_t)|^{\epsilon q} |\mathcal{R}_t|^q \right] d\xi = O(t^q)$$

for any $q > 1$.

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int \mathbb{E} \left[|\hat{f}(\xi, Y_t)|^{\epsilon q} |\mathcal{I}_t|^q \right] d\xi \\ & = \int \mathbb{E} \left[|\hat{f}(\xi, Y_t)|^{\epsilon q} \left| -\rho \int_0^t y_0 e^{\nu B_s^H} dB_s + \frac{y_0^2}{2} v_t + \rho y_0 C_{RK}(\eta_t) \frac{\eta_t}{\nu} t^{\frac{1}{2}-H} - \frac{y_0^2}{2} C_{eR}(\eta_t) t \right|^q |\xi|^q \right] d\xi \\ & \leq K \int |\xi|^q \mathbb{E} \left[|\hat{f}(\xi, Y_t)|^{\epsilon q} \times \right. \\ & \quad \left. \left\{ \left| \rho \int_0^t y_0 e^{\nu B_s^H} dB_s \right|^q + \left| \frac{y_0^2}{2} v_t \right|^q + \left| \rho y_0 C_{RK}(\eta_t) \frac{\eta_t}{\nu} t^{\frac{1}{2}-H} \right|^q + \left| \frac{y_0^2}{2} C_{eR}(\eta_t) t \right|^q \right\} \right] d\xi \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$\begin{aligned} J_1 &:= |\rho|^q \int |\xi|^q \mathbb{E} \left[|\hat{f}(\xi, Y_t)|^{\epsilon q} \left| \int_0^t y_0 e^{\nu B_s^H} dB_s \right|^q \right] d\xi, \\ J_2 &:= \int |\xi|^q \mathbb{E} \left[|\hat{f}(\xi, Y_t)|^{\epsilon q} \left| \frac{y_0^2}{2} v_t \right|^q \right] d\xi, \\ J_3 &:= \int |\xi|^q \mathbb{E} \left[|\hat{f}(\xi, Y_t)|^{\epsilon q} \left| \rho y_0 C_{RK}(\eta_t) \frac{\eta_t}{\nu} t^{\frac{1}{2}-H} \right|^q \right] d\xi, \end{aligned}$$

$$J_4 := \int |\xi|^q \mathbb{E} \left[|\hat{f}(\xi, Y_t)|^{\epsilon q} \left| \frac{y_0^2}{2} C_{eR}(\eta_t) t \right|^q \right] d\xi.$$

We estimate J_1 through J_4 separately as follows.

- J_1 : Notice that

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E} \left[|\hat{f}(\xi, Y_t)|^{\epsilon q} \left| \int_0^t y_0 e^{\nu B_s^H} dB_s \right|^q \right] \\ & \leq y_0^q \left\{ \mathbb{E} \left[|\hat{f}(\xi, Y_t)|^{\epsilon q p_1} \right] \right\}^{\frac{1}{p_1}} \left\{ \mathbb{E} \left[\left| \int_0^t e^{\nu B_s^H} dB_s \right|^{qq_1} \right] \right\}^{\frac{1}{q_1}} \quad (\text{H\"older}) \\ & \leq y_0^q \left\{ \mathbb{E} \left[|\hat{f}(\xi, Y_t)|^{\epsilon q p_1} \right] \right\}^{\frac{1}{p_1}} \left\{ \mathbb{E} \left[\left| \int_0^t e^{2\nu B_s^H} ds \right|^{\frac{qq_1}{2}} \right] \right\}^{\frac{1}{q_1}} \quad (\text{BDG}) \\ & = y_0^q t^{\frac{q}{2}} \left\{ \mathbb{E} \left[|\hat{f}(\xi, Y_t)|^{\epsilon q p_1} \right] \right\}^{\frac{1}{p_1}} \left\{ \mathbb{E} \left[\left| \int_0^1 e^{2\nu B_{tu}^H} du \right|^{\frac{qq_1}{2}} \right] \right\}^{\frac{1}{q_1}} \\ & \leq y_0^q t^{\frac{q}{2}} \left\{ \mathbb{E} \left[|\hat{f}(\xi, Y_t)|^{\epsilon q p_1} \right] \right\}^{\frac{1}{p_1}} \left\{ \int_0^1 \mathbb{E} \left[e^{qq_1 \nu B_{tu}^H} \right] du \right\}^{\frac{1}{q_1}} \quad (\text{Jensen, assuming } \frac{qq_1}{2} > 1) \\ & = y_0^q t^{\frac{q}{2}} \left\{ \mathbb{E} \left[|\hat{f}(\xi, Y_t)|^{\epsilon q p_1} \right] \right\}^{\frac{1}{p_1}} \left\{ \int_0^1 e^{\frac{(qq_1 \nu)^2}{2} (tu)^{2H}} du \right\}^{\frac{1}{q_1}}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $J_1 = O(t^{\frac{q}{2}})$ as $t \rightarrow 0^+$.

- J_2 and J_4 : The asymptotic behavior of J_2 is the same as that of $t^q L_1$. Also, J_4 is the same as that of $t^q L_2$. Thus, $J_2, J_4 = O(t^q)$ as $t \rightarrow 0^+$.
- J_3 : Let $\alpha = \frac{1}{2} - H$. Consider the integrand of J_3 as

$$\begin{aligned} & t^{\alpha q} |\rho y_0|^q \mathbb{E} \left[|\hat{f}(\xi, Y_t)|^{\epsilon q} \left| C_{RK}(\eta_t) \frac{\eta_t}{\nu} \right|^q \right] \\ & \leq t^{\alpha q} |\rho y_0|^q \left\{ \mathbb{E} \left[|\hat{f}(\xi, Y_t)|^{\epsilon q p_1} \right] \right\}^{\frac{1}{p_1}} \left\{ \mathbb{E} \left[|C_{RK}(\eta_t)|^{qq_1} \right] \right\}^{\frac{1}{q_1}} \left\{ \mathbb{E} \left[\left| \frac{\eta_t}{\nu} \right|^{qr_1} \right] \right\}^{\frac{1}{r_1}} \\ & = t^{\alpha q} |\rho y_0|^q \left\{ \mathbb{E} \left[|\hat{f}(\xi, Y_t)|^{\epsilon q p_1} \right] \right\}^{\frac{1}{p_1}} \left\{ \mathbb{E} \left[\left| \int_0^1 e^{R(1,u)\eta_t} K_H(1,u) du \right|^{qq_1} \right] \right\}^{\frac{1}{q_1}} t^{qH} M_{qr_1}^{\frac{1}{r_1}} \\ & \leq t^{(\alpha+H)q} |\rho y_0|^q \left\{ \mathbb{E} \left[|\hat{f}(\xi, Y_t)|^{\epsilon q p_1} \right] \right\}^{\frac{1}{p_1}} \left\{ \int_0^1 \mathbb{E} \left[e^{qq_1 R(1,u)\eta_t} |K_H(1,u)|^{qq_1} du \right] \right\}^{\frac{1}{q_1}} M_{qr_1}^{\frac{1}{r_1}} \\ & = t^{(\alpha+H)q} |\rho y_0|^q \left\{ \mathbb{E} \left[|\hat{f}(\xi, Y_t)|^{\epsilon q p_1} \right] \right\}^{\frac{1}{p_1}} \left\{ \int_0^1 e^{\frac{(qq_1 R(1,u))^2}{2} \nu^2 t^{2H}} |K_H(1,u)|^{qq_1} du \right\}^{\frac{1}{q_1}} M_{qr_1}^{\frac{1}{r_1}} \end{aligned}$$

where M_k is the k th absolute moment of standard normal. Thus, $J_3 = O\left(t^{(H+\frac{1}{2})q}\right)$ as $t \rightarrow 0^+$.

Finally, putting all the estimates together we end up

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| \frac{1}{2\pi} \int e^{-ix_0\xi} \mathbb{E} \left[\hat{f}(\xi, Y_t) \left\{ e^{i \left(-\rho \int_0^t y_0 e^{\nu B_s^H} dB_s + \frac{y_0^2}{2} v_t \right) \xi} e^{-\frac{\bar{\rho}^2 y_0^2 v_t}{2} \xi^2} - \hat{\varphi}(\eta_t) \right\} \right] d\xi \right| \\
& \leq L_1 + L_2 + J_1 + J_2 + J_3 + J_4 \\
& = O(t^q) + O(t^q) + |\rho| O(t^{\frac{q}{2}}) + O(t^q) + O\left(t^{q(H+\frac{1}{2})}\right) + O(t^q) \\
& = |\rho| O(t^{\frac{q}{2}}) + O(t^{q\gamma})
\end{aligned}$$

for all $q > 1$.

7.2. Laplace asymptotic formula. We prove the following form of Laplace asymptotic formula required in the derivation of the small time asymptotic of the price of an out-of-the-money call.

Lemma 7.1. (*Laplace asymptotic formula*)

Let Ω be a closed set in \mathbb{R}^2 with nonempty and smooth boundary $\partial\Omega$. Suppose $\theta(t, x) := \theta_0(x) + t^\alpha \theta_1(x) + t^{2\alpha} \theta_2(x)$, with $2\alpha < 1$, is a continuous function in Ω and, for every t sufficiently small, attains its minimum uniquely at $x^*(t) \in \partial\Omega$. Moreover, given any $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $\theta(t, x) \geq \theta(t, x^*(t)) + \delta$ for all $x \in \Omega \setminus B_\epsilon(x^*(t))$, where $B_\epsilon(x^*(t)) = \{x : |x - x^*(t)| < \epsilon\}$ is the open ball of radius ϵ centered at $x^*(t)$. Assume that f is integrable in Ω , i.e., $\int_\Omega |f(x)| dx < \infty$ and that f vanishes identically in Ω^c and on the boundary $\partial\Omega$ but the inward normal directional derivative of f at $x^*(t)$ is nonzero. Then we have the asymptotic expansion as $t \rightarrow 0^+$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_\Omega e^{-\frac{\theta(x,t)}{t}} f(x) dx \\
& = \frac{\sqrt{2\pi} t^{\frac{5}{2}} e^{-\frac{\theta(t, x^*)}{t}}}{\sqrt{\partial_t^2 \theta(t, x^*)} |\nabla \theta(t, x^*)|} \left[\frac{\nabla f(x^*) \cdot \nabla \theta(t, x^*)}{|\nabla \theta(t, x^*)|^2} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial_t^2 f(x^*)}{\partial_t^2 \theta(t, x^*)} + o(1) \right],
\end{aligned} \tag{7.4}$$

where $\partial_t^2 f(x^*)$ and $\partial_t^2 \theta(t, x^*)$ are the second derivatives of f and θ respectively in the tangential direction to Ω at x^* .

Proof. For any $\epsilon > 0$, we split the integral on the left side of (7.4) into two parts as

$$\int_\Omega e^{-\frac{\theta(t,x)}{t}} f(x) dx = \int_{\Omega \cap B_\epsilon(x^*)} e^{-\frac{\theta(t,x)}{t}} f(x) dx + \int_{\Omega \setminus B_\epsilon(x^*)} e^{-\frac{\theta(t,x)}{t}} f(x) dx. \tag{7.5}$$

We treat the two terms on the right hand side of (7.5) individually. For the first term, since the integration region is restricted to a subset of the small ball $B_\epsilon(x^*)$, it can be reparametrized by $y = (y^1, y^2)$ so that in the y -coordinates the set $\{y : y^2 = 0\}$ corresponds to $\partial\Omega$ and the vectors $\{\partial_{y^1}, \partial_{y^2}\}$ form a local orthonormal frame around

x^* . For simplicity, we further assume that in the y -coordinates x^* is located at the origin. Note that in the y -coordinates the vector ∂_{y^2} is parallel to $\nabla\theta(x^*)$ as well as the inward normal vector of Ω at x^* . We shall use the convention that repeated indices are summed up over their respective ranges.

Denote partial derivatives by subindices, we have for $y \in B_\epsilon(x^*)$

$$\theta(t, y) = \theta(t, 0) + \theta_2(t, 0)y^2 + \frac{1}{2}\theta_{ij}(t, 0)y^i y^j + \mathcal{O}(|y|^2),$$

$$f(y) = f_i(0)y^i + \frac{1}{2}f_{ij}(0)y^i y^j + \mathcal{O}(|y|^2)$$

since $\theta_1(0) = 0$ for θ attains its minimum at the boundary point x^* . Hence, in the y -coordinates the first integral on the right hand side of (7.5) reads

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega \cap B_\epsilon(x^*)} e^{-\frac{\theta(t, x)}{t}} f(x) dx \\ &= \int_0^\epsilon \int_{-\epsilon}^\epsilon e^{-\frac{1}{t}(\theta(t, 0) + \theta_2(t, 0)y^2 + \frac{1}{2}\theta_{ij}(t, 0)y^i y^j + \mathcal{O}(|y|^2))} \left[f_i(0)y^i + \frac{1}{2}f_{ij}(0)y^i y^j + \mathcal{O}(|y|^2) \right] dy^1 dy^2. \end{aligned}$$

Now do the change of variable

$$y^1 = \sqrt{t}z^1, \quad y^2 = tz^2.$$

The last integral becomes

$$\begin{aligned} & e^{-\frac{\theta(t, 0)}{t}} t^{\frac{3}{2}} \int_0^{\frac{\epsilon}{t}} \int_{-\frac{\epsilon}{\sqrt{t}}}^{\frac{\epsilon}{\sqrt{t}}} e^{-(\theta_2(t, 0)z^2 + \frac{1}{2}\theta_{11}(t, 0)(z^1)^2 + o(t))} \times \\ & \left[f_1(0)z^1\sqrt{t} + f_2(0)z^2t + \frac{1}{2}f_{11}(0)(z^1)^2t + o(t) \right] dz^1 dz^2. \end{aligned}$$

Define the integrals by

$$\begin{aligned} I &= \int_0^{\frac{\epsilon}{t}} \int_{-\frac{\epsilon}{\sqrt{t}}}^{\frac{\epsilon}{\sqrt{t}}} e^{-(\theta_2(t, 0)z^2 + \frac{1}{2}\theta_{11}(t, 0)(z^1)^2 + o(t))} f_1(0)z^1 dz^1 dz^2, \\ II &= \int_0^{\frac{\epsilon}{t}} \int_{-\frac{\epsilon}{\sqrt{t}}}^{\frac{\epsilon}{\sqrt{t}}} e^{-(\theta_2(t, 0)z^2 + \frac{1}{2}\theta_{11}(t, 0)(z^1)^2 + o(t))} f_2(0)z^2 dz^1 dz^2, \\ III &= \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{\frac{\epsilon}{t}} \int_{-\frac{\epsilon}{\sqrt{t}}}^{\frac{\epsilon}{\sqrt{t}}} e^{-(\theta_2(t, 0)z^2 + \frac{1}{2}\theta_{11}(t, 0)(z^1)^2 + o(t))} f_{11}(0)(z^1)^2 dz^1 dz^2. \end{aligned}$$

As $t \rightarrow 0^+$, we calculate the each integral individually as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} I &= f_1(0) \int_0^{\frac{\epsilon}{t}} e^{-\theta_2(t, 0)z^2} dz^2 \times \int_{-\frac{\epsilon}{\sqrt{t}}}^{\frac{\epsilon}{\sqrt{t}}} e^{-(\frac{1}{2}\theta_{11}(t, 0)(z^1)^2 + o(t))} z^1 dz^1 \\ &\approx f_1(0) \int_0^\infty e^{-\theta_2(t, 0)z^2} dz^2 \times \int_{-\infty}^\infty e^{-\frac{1}{2}\theta_{11}(t, 0)(z^1)^2} z^1 dz^1 \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

For II ,

$$\begin{aligned}
II &= f_2(0) \int_0^{\frac{\epsilon}{\sqrt{t}}} e^{-\theta_2(t,0)z^2} z^2 dz^2 \times \int_{-\frac{\epsilon}{\sqrt{t}}}^{\frac{\epsilon}{\sqrt{t}}} e^{-\left(\frac{1}{2}\theta_{11}(t,0)(z^1)^2 + o(t)\right)} dz^1 \\
&\approx f_2(0) \int_0^\infty e^{-\theta_2(t,0)z^2} z^2 dz^2 \times \int_{-\infty}^\infty e^{-\frac{1}{2}\theta_{11}(t,0)(z^1)^2} dz^1 \\
&= \frac{f_2(0)}{\theta_2^2(t,0)} \times \sqrt{\frac{2\pi}{\theta_{11}(t,0)}}.
\end{aligned}$$

and III

$$\begin{aligned}
III &= \frac{f_{11}(0)}{2} \int_0^{\frac{\epsilon}{\sqrt{t}}} e^{-\theta_2(t,0)z^2} dz^2 \times \int_{-\frac{\epsilon}{\sqrt{t}}}^{\frac{\epsilon}{\sqrt{t}}} e^{-\left(\frac{1}{2}\theta_{11}(t,0)(z^1)^2 + o(t)\right)} (z^1)^2 dz^1 \\
&\approx \frac{f_{11}(0)}{2} \int_0^\infty e^{-\theta_2(t,0)z^2} dz^2 \times \int_{-\infty}^\infty e^{-\frac{1}{2}\theta_{11}(t,0)(z^1)^2} (z^1)^2 dz^1 \\
&= \frac{f_{11}(0)}{2\theta_2(t,0)} \times \sqrt{\frac{2\pi}{\theta_{11}^3(t,0)}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Moreover, by applying the argument as in next paragraph, one can show that all the terms that are left out in each integral in the limit are exponentially small compared to the limiting term. Thus, they do not contribute to the asymptotic expansion.

On the other hand, concerning the second term

$$\left| \int_{\Omega \setminus B_\epsilon(x^*)} e^{-\frac{\theta(t,x)}{t}} f(x) dx \right| \leq \int_{\Omega \setminus B_\epsilon(x^*)} e^{-\frac{\theta(t,x^*) + \delta}{t}} |f(x)| dx \leq e^{-\frac{\delta}{t}} e^{-\frac{\theta(t,x^*)}{t}} \int_{\Omega} |f(x)| dx.$$

As a result, the second term is exponentially small (at the rate δ) as $t \rightarrow 0^+$ compared to the expansion (7.4) obtained for the first term, hence it does not contribute to the asymptotic expansion. Finally, we obtain the Laplace expansion (7.4) by rewriting the expressions for II and III in a coordinate independent form. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] BAILLIE, R.T., BOLLERSLEV, T., and MIKKELSEN, H.O., Fractionally integrated generalized autoregressive conditional heteroskedasticity, *Journal of Econometrics*, **74**, pp.3-30, 1996.
- [2] CHENG, X. and WANG, T.-H., Bessel bridge representation for heat kernel in hyperbolic space, *Preprint*, available in ArXiv, 2016.
- [3] COMTE, F. and RENAULT, E., Long memory in continuous-time stochastic volatility models, *Mathematical Finance*, **8**(4), pp.291–323, 1998.
- [4] COMTE, F., COUTIN, L. and RENAULT, E., Affine fractional stochastic volatility models, *Annals of Finance*, **8**, pp.337–378, 2012.
- [5] EKSTRÖM, E. and LU, B., Short-time implied volatility in exponential Lévy models, *International Journal of Theoretical and Applied Finance*, **18**(4), 2015.
- [6] EL EUCH, O. and ROSENBAUM, M., The characteristic function of rough Heston models, *Preprint*, 2016.

- [7] GAO, K. and LEE, R., Asymptotics of implied volatility to arbitrary order, *Finance and Stochastics*, Forthcoming, 2015.
- [8] GATHERAL, J., JAISSON, T. and ROSENBAUM, M., Volatility is rough, *Preprint*, 2014.
- [9] GRANGER, C.W.J. and JOYEUX, R., An introduction to long memory time series models and fractional differencing, *Journal of Time Series Analysis*, **1**, pp.15-39, 1980.
- [10] GUENNOUN, H., JAQUIER, A. and ROOME, P., Asymptotics of the fractional Heston model, *Preprint*, 2014.
- [11] HAGAN, P., KUMAR, D., LESNIEWSKI, A., and WOODWARD, D., Managing smile risk, *Wilmott Magazine*, September, pp.84–108, 2002.
- [12] HAGAN, P., LESNIEWSKI, A., and WOODWARD, D., Probability distribution in the SABR Model of stochastic volatility, *Springer Proceedings in Mathematics & Statistics, Large Deviations and Asymptotic Methods in Finance*, **110**, pp.1–35, 2015.
- [13] IKEDA, N. and MATSUMOTO, H., Brownian Motion on the Hyperbolic Plane and Selberg Trace Formula, *Journal of Functional Analysis*, **163**, pp.63–100, 1999.
- [14] ROPER, M. and RUTKOWSKI, M., A note on the behaviour of the Black-Scholes implied volatility close to expiry, *International Journal of Theoretical and Applied Finance*, **12**(4), pp.427–441, 2009.

JIRO AKAHORI
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES
RITSUMEIKAN UNIVERSITY

E-mail address: akahori@se.ritsumei.ac.jp

XIAOMING SONG
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
DREXEL UNIVERSITY

E-mail address: song@math.drexel.edu

TAI-HO WANG
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
BARUCH COLLEGE, THE CITY UNIVERSITY OF NEW YORK
1 BERNARD BARUCH WAY, NEW YORK, NY10010

E-mail address: tai-ho.wang@baruch.cuny.edu